MANSOUR SHAKI

THE CONCEPT OF OBLIGATED SUCCESSORSHIP IN THE MĀDIYĀN Ī HAZĀR DĀDISTĀN

The social relations and proprietary demands of the patriarchal Sasanian society which considered every effort towards the maintenance of succession and preservation of private property a categorical imperative had given rise to a most elaborate system of civil laws regulating succession by substitution or proxy, generally called *stūrīh*. It is for this purpose that the preservation of the generations of the Iranian *wēh-dēns* till the Restoration (*paywand ī fraškard*) is set forth as one of the fundamental commandments of Zoroastrianism. Without man, as an ally of Ohrmazd and instrument in the fight against the Evil Spirit, the victory of Good over Evil cannot be achieved.

It is, therefore, incumbent upon every man to have male issue in the absence of whom his soul will be unable to pass the Činvat Bridge. The great importance attached to the maintenance of succession by the Zoroastrians may be seen from the space devoted to it in Sasanian law, comprising by far the greater chapter of their code, partially preserved in the *Mādiyān ī Hazār Dādistān*.

Thus, the problem which must have engaged the attention of many a Sasanian jurisconsult was the creation of a substitute successor (stūr) for an adult Zoroastrian man having died without a male progeny. This implied a marriage institution contracted preferably by one of his nearest agnates, financed by his estate, in order to provide the deceased with a male successor regarded as his legitimate progeny.

Within the general system of $st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$ the concept of obligated successorship forms a special category in which the $st\bar{u}r$ is called in Avestan legal terminology $y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e}$ $pas\check{c}a\bar{e}ta$ (lit. he to whom afterwards [i.e. after the decease of the issueless person] descends the obligation of $st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$) and in MP literature $ay\bar{o}k-h\bar{e}$, $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$, $ay\bar{o}k$, etc. which is here of concern.

The etymon of the word and partially its denotation had already

¹ See, my Sasanian Matrimonial Relations, ArOr 39, 1974, p. 326, and A. Perikhanian, On Some Pahlavi Legal Terms, W.B. Henning Memorial Volume, p. 353 f.

become obscure by the ninth century. Bartholomae who initiated the first serious study of the MHD did not propose a reading, but interpreted it "Nadelgeld" 2. West and Dhabhar following the traditional reading have suggested respectively $v\bar{u}k\bar{a}n^3$ and $av\bar{o}k$ -ain "only child". The idea of an "only child" has also led Bulsara to read aêvakkîn "marriage in condition of the only child" 4, and de Menasce ēvakēn "le mariage de la fille unique"⁵. Klingenschmitt in his otiose translation of this chapter of the MDH, gives a transliteration of its defective form, 'ywkkyn, and takes it in the sense of "Erbtochter" 6. is also pointed out by Perikhanian who has come very near to its true signification, but, unfortunately, has restricted it to its main aspect $\epsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s^{-7}$ (not in the sense of the "Erbtochter" of Klingenschmitt). Her explaination of the term as a lost Avestan aeno-kaena- "expiator, redeemer" 8 is untenable. On examining the late B.T. Anklesaria's transcription of the texts of the Rivāvat ī Ēmēt ī Ašavahištān and The Pahlavi Rivāvat of Āturfarnbag and Farnbag-Srōš it came to my knowledge that he had shrewdly observed the correct etymology of our term in as much as he had transcribed 'ywkyn' as ayōkēn⁹, yō hē¹⁰, and $av\bar{o}h\bar{e}^{11}$, but left unexplained in the translation.

As I have already pointed out in my previous papers ¹² the MP 'ywkhy, corrupted into 'ywkyn' and 'ywkkyn by generations of copyists is coined by the fusion of the beginning words of the original Av. legal phrase $y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e}$ pasčaēta in keeping with the rules of the transcription of Avestan words into Pahlavi script. The establishment of the equation $y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e}$ (pasčaēta) = $ay\bar{o}k$ - $h\bar{e}$ > $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ not only dispels unnecessary conjectures about the origin of the word but greatly elucidates its actual legal import. Let us first turn to Pahlavi texts and find out the status of persons referred to \bar{a} s $y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e}$ pasčaēta or $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$. In the order of priority they are: a virile ($zah\bar{a}g$) $p\bar{a}dix\bar{s}\bar{a}yih\bar{a}$

² ZsR, V. 27-41.

³ SBE, XVIII. 185, n. 3.

⁴ The Laws of the Ancient Persians, p. 153.

⁵ Feux et fondations pieuses dans le droit sassanide, 1964, pp. 35-57.

⁶ Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft, Heft 21, 1967, pp. 59-70.

⁷ W.B. Henning Memorial Volume, 1970, p. 352.

⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 353.

⁹ REA, Pursišn 1, p. 3.

¹⁰ Ibid., Pursišn 44, p. 163.

¹¹ Pahl, Riv. A-F and F-S, Pursišn 22, p. 107.

¹² Some Basic Tenets, ArOr 38, 1970, p. 289 and SMR, p. 332-3.

son who is, naturally, an immediate and direct male progeny 13 ; a $p\bar{a}dix\bar{s}\bar{a}yih\bar{a}$ widow who is bound by duty to enter into $st\bar{u}rih$ marriage (in her case called $\check{c}akarih$) in order to beget male $st\bar{u}r$ children for the benefit and in the name of the deceased 14 ; further, an adopted son $(pus\ \bar{i}\ pad\bar{i}riftag)^{15}$ and a designate $st\bar{u}r\ (st\bar{u}r\ \bar{i}\ kardag)^{16}$ instituted by the deceased in his lifetime to his own $st\bar{u}rih$ successorship. In default of these the obligation of $st\bar{u}rih$ descends either to the eldest $p\bar{a}dix\bar{s}\bar{a}yih\bar{a}$ daughter or sister or to the one who has not yet married 17 . But if the daughter is already married the majority of decrees disapprove of the dissolution of her $p\bar{a}dix\bar{s}\bar{a}yih\bar{a}$ marriage in order to assume her father's $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih^{18}$. Perikhanian has concluded, unwarrantedly, to the contrary 19 .

From what has been said it becomes evident that a $y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e}$ $pasča\bar{e}ta$ or $ay\bar{o}k-h\bar{e}$ or $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ is essentially a person to whom descends the obligation of successorship, be it a straight male descent, as is the case with a $p\bar{a}dix\bar{s}\bar{a}y\bar{h}\bar{a}$ son, or a female descent (daughter), or a female member of family (sister, wife) or anyone else instituted a substitute-successor ($st\bar{u}r$) by the testator himself (a designate $st\bar{u}r$ or adopted son). As all these persons, except the $p\bar{a}dix\bar{s}\bar{a}y\bar{t}h\bar{a}$ son and designate $st\bar{u}r$, are referred to as $b\bar{u}dag$ $st\bar{u}rs$ "obligated, responsible or lawful substitute-successors" 20 the concept of obligation is inherent in $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih^{21}$. It is to be noted that in contradistinction to $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih$, the institution of $st\bar{u}r\bar{t}h$ includes all forms of substitute-successorships: obligated ($b\bar{u}dag$), designate (kardag) and appointed ($gum\bar{a}rdag$). This distinguishing feature of $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih$ as a special category of $st\bar{u}r\bar{t}h$ is emphasized by our texts, since the term almost everywhere occurs in

¹³ MHD, I. 22, 8-9; I. 22, 2-3.

¹⁴ MHD, I. 87, 1-2; I, 49, 2-3; Dd. Pursišn 55; REA, Pursišn 1.

¹⁵ REA, Pursišn 1.

¹⁶ MHD, I. 47. 7-14; REA Pursišn 1.

¹⁷ MHD, I. 41. 11-13.

¹⁸ MHD, I. 22. 1-2; I. 23. 1-4; Dd. Pursišn 53: ka dūdag kadag bānūg widīred ud duxtarān šōy kard stūr [iħ] gumārišn. "If the mistress of the house passes away and the daughters are married, a stūr has to be created by appointment".

¹⁹ HMV, p. 352, n. 12.

²⁰ Pagliaro was the first to explain $b\bar{u}tak$, unwarrantedly, as "natural", RSO, 23. 64, followed by de Menasce "naturel", Feux et fondations, 35, and Perikhanian "natural", HMV, p. 355. See my SMR, p. 328.

²¹ Cf. Dd. Pursišn 57: stūr i būdag ēdon čēon zan i pādixšāyihā ud duxt i ayōk-hē *kē pad x^wad *astišnih stūr. "An obligated stur is as a pādixšāyihā wife or an ayōk-hē daughter who is (obliged to assume the) stūrih by her own status".

association with the verbal phrase *abar ōh mānēd* "she/he shall succeed or is bound to remain (a successor)".

Of great interest is the stress laid not only on the preservation of the name (renown) and lineage of the deceased but also on his private property ²² a factor that as often as not lies at the very basis of social laws no matter how far-off they may seem from our material aspects of life. This has found a direct expression in the privilege attached to association in regard to successorship.

An avōkēn marriage contracted by an obligated stūr (stūr i būdag) enjoys special proprietary rights discussed by me in SMR, p. 333. That is the reason why the avokenih is regarded as a special form of marriage in the Marriage Contract 23 and to which has been devoted a separate chapter in the MDH. Since an avoken daughter succeeds to the stūrih of her deceased father as his pādixšāyihā wife, she inherits like a pādixšāyīhā son, viz. two shares from the estate ²⁴. It is only when she is the only heiress that, naturally, inherits all of the patrimony 25. A sister succeeding to the ayōkēnīh of her brother becomes his associate; a part of his estate, apparently, passes to her absolute ownership and the other part to be held for the management of his sturih, which is revertible to the stūr son on his coming of age. The property made over to a designate $st\bar{u}r$ by the testator will be held by him in usufruct ²⁶.

The following translation of the chapter on $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih$ and other passages concerning this subject, occurring in the MHD, is a part of my work bearing upon the social and economic relations of the Sasanian era. I have rearranged the paragraphs in order to present them in a more coherent sequence.

MDH, I. 21. 3:

dar ī ayōkēn^a yō hē pasčaēta ayōk hē^b pasčaita^c

^a MS 'ywk kyn, obviously a corruption of 'ywkyn', which occurs throughout the manuscript.

^b MS h for hy by copyist's error.

²² Cf. MHD, I. 22. 3-6: I. 23. 14-24. 2: Dd. Pursišn 55: ... stūrīh ēdōn bawēd ... ku ... stūr ... pad ān ī ōy nāmagānīh ud +paywand rāyēnēd ud x "āstag dārēd.+ "stūrīh is such that the stūr should maintain his name and lineage and administer his property".

²³ Pahl. Texts, II. 141. 3.

²⁴ REA, Pursišn 44.

²⁵ Ibid., 2, 3, 18.

²⁶ MHD, I. 87, 12-13.

^c MS psčpyt' for pšc'yt'. The last phrase is a transcription of the Av. formula in Pahlavi script.

Chapter on ayōkēn "who to him afterwards".

MHD, I. 21. 5-8:

nibišt ku mard zan ud frazand bē duxt-ē kas nēst ud ān ī ān duxt šōy ān duxt az zanīh bē hilēd" ud pad sālārīh abāz ō pid nē dahēd ēg-iš stūrīh ī pid pad x"āhišn. ud ka-š pad sālārīh abāz padīrēd bēg-iš abar ōh mānēd x"āhišn pad kār < nē> ° abāyēd.

- a MS Š<u>B</u>KWN-x.
- b MS MKBLWN-x.
- ^c L' is essential to a logical sense.

It has been written: A man has no wife and children but a daughter; and the husband of that daughter divorces that daughter, but he does not place her again under the guardianship of the father, thereupon, her assumption of the father's *stūrīh* is optional ²⁷. And if he (i.e. the father) takes her back under his guardianship, thereupon, she shall succeed to his (*stūrīh*); her consent is not necessary.

MDH, I. 21. 8-10:

Sōšyāns guft ku duxt ī beastān^a ka-š bē hilēd, ka-š murd pid bē hilēd, ēg-iz-iš ayōkēn² abar ōh mānēd.

^a MS by'sı'n, to my mind from be-ast-ān, pronounced biyastān (?) (lit. out of the house), a daughter who having come of age marries without the consent of her father or guardian, REA, Pursišn 30 (fourth definition); see SMR, 334-5. Bartholomae reads baydēspān "Götterbote", a euphemism for bastard, ZsR, V. 30-1, Klingenschmitt bayaspān and adds "Die Begleitumstände ... erinnern an die Definition der altindischen gāndharva-Ehe", MSS, Heft 21, p. 63, and n. 5; Perikharian bayaspān "marriage sine manu mariti", HMV, 349 f; Bulsara biyâstân "immodest", Code, 152-3.

2 MS 'ywk kyn'.

Sōšyāns maintained 28 : If a *beastān* daughter is divorced, (or) when her father is dead she is divorced, she shall succeed to the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n\bar{i}h$ of her father.

- ²⁷ From the context it is not quite clear whose wish or desire $(x^{\text{tr}}\bar{a}hi\tilde{s}n)$ is meant, the daughter's or guardian's. Since divorce is not considered valid without dissolution of guardianship (cf. *MHD*, I. 4. 9-10: I. 87. 5-6: I. 87. 6-9 and my *Sasanian Matrimonial Relations*, *ArOr* 39, 1971, p. 340) the $x^{\text{tr}}\bar{a}hi\tilde{s}n$ may refer to the guardian, i.e. the ex-husband.
- ²⁸ guftan (lit. to say, speak) should be rendered "to maintain, to profess an opinion" in legal terminology, cf. Arab. qaul.

MDH, I. 21. 10-15:

nibišt ku^a ka frazand ī pas-zād^b duxt ud zīndag pid šōy kunēd, az zanīh hilēd ud abāz šawēd widard stūrīh^c kunēd^d ān stūrīh abar ān duxt ōh mānēd. ud ka-š dādistān aōn i +stūrīh ī pidar+ c andar abāyēd pad ān ī pid ōh gumārišn. ud ast kē ēdōn gōwēd ku ka-z-iš andar ān i ka stūr ī kardag pad baxt šawēd šōy [kardan] nē hišt ēstēd ēg-iz yō hē pasčaita c. Wahrām guft ku ka-š andar ān ī šōy kard ēdōn abāyēd dāštan čēōn ka nē zindag hē.

- a 'YK is written over 'MT.
- b MS YLYDWN-yt, but cf. 'HL YLYDWN-t, MHD, I. 47. 1, and MHD, I. 21. 15-16.
- ^c MS has a defective stwly for stwlyh.
- d MS krt', better kunēd for concord of tense.
- e 'BYtl Y stwl, evidently by copyist's mistake.
- f MS gives ywk hy psčyť which when compared with the 'ywk h < y > psčyť of the heading the equivalence of $y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e} = y\bar{o}k$ $h\bar{e} = ay\bar{o}k$ - $h\bar{e}$ becomes evident.

It is written: If the last born child is a girl who marries in the lifetime of the father, the husband divorces her and she goes back and assumes the $st\bar{u}rih$ of a deceased person, that $st\bar{u}rih$ remains the duty of that daughter. And if her juridical case is such that she should of necessity assume the $st\bar{u}rih$ of the father, she ought to be instituted to that $st\bar{u}rih$. And there is one (jurisconsult) who maintains thus: Even if the husband has not divorced her when the designate 29 $st\bar{u}r$ passes away, she shall assume the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih$ 30 (i.e. obligated successorship) of her father. Wahram maintained: While she is married it must be so considered as if she were not alive.

MDH, I. 21, 15-22, 1:

duxt i pas-zād kē stūrīh abar mānēd az čēōn x^{w} ēš, ka stūr < ih> a abarmānd i pas < -zād> b nē bawēd andar-iz abarmānd i pad stūrīh abarmānd i pad x^{w} ēših būd nē šāyēd.

- a MS stwl for stwlyh.
- b YLYDWN-t as the second element of the compound 'HL-YLYDWN-t is omitted by copyist's error. Klingenschmitt suggests 'BYtl for 'HL which is hardly convincing.

The last born daughter who succeeds to the management of the stūrīh (of her father) is like (the father's) own (pādixšāyīhā wife)^a.

²⁹ Because a designated stūr has priority over a daughter in assuming the successorship, i.e. contracting a *stūrīh* marriage for the deceased.

³⁰ i.e. yō hē pasčaēta.

If the $st\bar{u}rih$ is not a transmitted obligation of the last-born (daughter) neither there may be an inheritance in absolute ownership included in the inheritance which is to be held for $st\bar{u}rih^b$.

b i.e. the legacy which is to be held in trust for the management of stūrīh.

MHD, I. 22. 1-2:

pad guft i dastwarān aōn nibišt ku yō hē pasčaita ka zīndag pid šōy kunēd xūb šud, u-š ān stūrīh pad ān dastwarīh awiš nē rasēd.

^a MS ywk hy pww sčyť by copyist's error.

From the dictums of the religious authorities it is so written: If an $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ (daughter) marries in the lifetime of the father, her going away is legally sound, and that $st\bar{u}rih$ on that authority does not devolve upon her.

MHD, I. 23. 1-4:

Wāyayyār nibišt ku ka duxt pad dastwarīh ī pid andar kas kunēd ku tā 10 sāl zan ī tō hom ud pid pēš az 10 sāl mīrēd pid tā 10 sāl stūr uzišn^a ud ka 10 sāl uzīd duxt zanīh i kas nēst ud *ayōkēn ī [ud] pid.

^a MS YNPKsšn for the correct YNPKWN-šn, uzišn. Bulsara has read yopsêshn (!), Code, 161, and Klingenschmitt gowsišn (!), SSM, 21, 61. The emendation, suggested by the following YNPKWN-t', uzid, is essential to the coherent sense of the sentence.

Wāyayyār has written: If a daughter with her father's sanction promises a person: "I shall be your wife for 10 years', and the father dies before the termination of those 10 years, thereupon the *stūrīh of the father should be suspended till the end of those 10 years, and when the term of 10 years elapses, the daughter is in no one's wedlock and is the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ of the father.

MHD, I. 89. 17-90. 1:

abāg ān ī guft ku duxt ī pid kard ku zanīh ī Mihrēn kun ka zanīh ī Mihrēn nē kunēd *ayōkēn i pid abar ōh mānēd, abarmānd ōh bawēd.

In conjunction with that which is said: A daughter whose father has prescribed her: "Enter into marriage with Mihrēn", if she does not assume the wifehood of Mihrēn, she shall succeed to the *ayōkēnīh* of the father; she shall become the successor ³¹.

^a The sentence is rather obscure. I cannot think of any better explaination. On her proprietary rights as a pādixšāyihā wife see REA, Pursišn 44; SMR, 333.

³¹ Abarmānd, pass. pt. of abar māndan, literally means "left over", however, in our

MHD, I. 24. 3-7:

būd kē guft ku duxt ī andar xānag ī pidarān zād ēstēd ka-š mād andar zīndagīh ī pid ī mād šōy kard *ayōkēn ī pid ī mād abar nē mānēd. Māhwindāda guft ku duxt ī pad ān ēwēn ka-š mād andar zīndagānīh ī pid ī mād šōy ayāb margarzān ayāb paratačaiti (paratačaita) kard kā-š nēm bahrag ī mād abar nēmānēd ēg-iš ka pad *ayōkēn andar abāyēd ā-š *ayōkēn abar ōh mānēd.

- ^a MS *m'hwd't*, Bartholomae *Māhāndāt*, *ZsR*, V, 33, is a corrupt spelling for *m'hwnd't*, "Māhwindād", retraced by an unskilled hand. The name of this famous commentator and jurisprudent appears in many Pahlavi books, see *Vyt*, 3, 3; *Py*, 9, 33; *Š n-Š* (Tavadia), *App.* 2, 2; *MHD*, I. 65. 14, etc.
 - b Bartholomae reads 1.
 - ^c MS 'ywkyn' which with Bartholomae I emend to 'dwyn'.
 - d Written in Avestan characters followed by its transcription in Pahlavi script.
 - e Bartholomae reads ēvāč, perhaps inadvertently.

There was a jurisconsult who maintained: A daughter who is born in the house of the ancestors 32 if her mother marries in the lifetime of the father of (her) mother, she will not succeed to the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}rih$) of the father of (her) mother. Māhwindād maintained: If the mother of such a daughter either marries in the lifetime of the father of (her) mother, or commits a $margarz\bar{a}n$ (death-deserving) sin, or breach of promise 33 (?) then the half share of her mother does not pass to her; thereupon, if it is binding on her to become the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ (of her grand-father), she should succeed to (his) $\bar{a}y\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}rih$).

text not in the sense of "legacy", but "successor", "one who maintains succession". Cf. MHD, I. 22. 3: duxt abarmānd î pid nē bawēd, which obviously does not imply that the daughter is not the father's legacy, but rather her not being liable to assume the successorship of the father, i.e. to maintain his lineage by undertaking his stūrīh. The use of abarmānd in the sense of "uninterrupted tradition, sequence", Skr pāramparyam (Neryosang, ŠGV) attests our interpretation. Bartholomae's translation "Erbschaft" is hardly justifiable in this context, ZsR, V. 4, 27-8. On abarmānd as "residuary legacy" see SMR, 341-2.

- ³² The expression andar xānag ī pidarān zād is the same as andar dūdag zād "a child born of stūrīh marriage for the maintenance of the family", see SMR, 331, n. 4: MHD, II. 35. 11-16. Therefore, "a daughter born in the house of her ancestors" is in our case a girl born of stūrīh or ayōkēnīh marriage of her mother to maintain the lineage of her grand-father.
- ³³ Av. paratačaiti (lit. runs away) may be an Avestan term for breach of promise of stūrīh, i.e. running away from one's obligation to assume stūrīh, which is considered a grave margarzān sin: az wināh i mardōmān kunēnd ... garāntar ... kē stūr rāyēnītārīh škanēd, MX, 36 Nyberg, A Manual of Pahlavi I, p. 82. 4-8: For Bartholomae's translation of this passage see ZsR, V. 32-3 and that of Klingenschmitt SSM, 21, 67.

MHD, I. 22. 8-9:

 $y\bar{o}(k)h\bar{e}$ pasčaita abar pus ud duxt i pādixšāyihā i zahāg mānēd.

a MS gives in Pahlavi script ywk hy psčyť.

The obligation of successorship a descends to a *pādixšāyīhā* son and/or daughter capable of begetting children.

^a Lit. who to him/her afterwards [(i.e. after the death of the father) descends the obligation of successorship]. In the case of a pādixšāyīhā (one's own) son the succession is maintained by his natural status, and in the case of a daughter through her undertaking the father's stūrīh.

MHD, I. 22. 2-3:

ēn-iz $a\bar{o}n^a$ nibišt ku $y\bar{o}(k)h\bar{e}$ pasčaita ka duxt abarmānd \bar{i} pid nē bawēd ud ka pus \bar{a} - \bar{s} bawēd.

a ZNH-č is superimposed on 'wgwn'.

It is also so written: Concerning the institution of ayōkēnīh, if a daughter cannot become a successor a of the father, a son, if (there is one), is (always) liable to it.

^a For abarmand in the sense of "successor" cf. MHD, I. 89. 17-90. 1.

MHD, I. 23. 13-14:

pid kē-š pus ast *ayōkēn abar duxt nē mānēd.

(In the case of a) father who has a son, (his) daughter does not succeed to his $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n\bar{i}h$ (successorship)^a.

^a i.e. she is not bound by duty to assume his $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ $st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$, because of his secured succession.

MHD, I. 22. 3-6:

ka [š] brād 2 ud xⁿah-ē ān gyāg ud ān [ud] xⁿah abāg brād-ē hambāy u-š brād-ē did abar sālār, ud brād 2 har pad ē jār pad baxt šawēnd^a pad čāštag ī Abarak sālār, pad ān ī Mēdōgmāh hambāy^b gōwēnd ku ka-š ast ā-š abar mānēd.

- ^a For the translation of this part of the sentence by Bartholomae see ZsR, V, 26.
- b MS hmb'gyh.

If there are two brothers and one sister, and that sister is an associate of one of the brothers, and the other brother is her guardian, and both brothers die at the same time, then in accordance with the doctrine of Abarak it is the guardian and that of Mēdōgmāh

succession ³⁷. If (after) they have distributed the estate, the brother with whom she had gone shares ³⁶ and the brother who had become her guardian in accordance with the canon laws relative to succession both die ³⁸, she succeeds to the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}nih$ of the brother who had been her guardian; and if she had gone shares with both brothers, her case is clear from what has been said before.

MHD, I. 23, 4:

u-š ēn-iz aōn nibišt ku x"ah *ayōkēn ī brād andar hambāyīh ī brād abar mānēd.

And he also wrote thus: A sister who is the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}r$) of (her) brother also succeeds to (his $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n\bar{i}h$) as his associate.

 $^{\rm a}$ This sentence following a pronouncement of Wāyayyār (MHD, I. 23. 1-4) must also belong to him.

MHD, I. 23. 14-17:

brād-iz ka brād ī hambāy ast *ayōkēn < īh > abar x ah nē mānēd. Bōzišn aōn dāšt ku hambāy ī zīndag ō kardag nē kard ēstēd hamē sālārīh kār ... dud ka brād ī mih mīrēd ēg-iš *ayōkēn abar mānēd ud ka ān ī kih mīrēd ā-š nē mānēd.

- ^a MS *bwhš'n* hardly *be-šān*, Klingenschmitt, *MSS*, 21. 62. It may be a new name Bōxšān. Av. *baoxšna-*, Bulsara, Code, 163, not met with anywhere else. In all likelihood it is a corruption of Bōzišn, the well-known Pahlavi commentator occurring in *MHD*, II. 12. 3 "Dādfarrox ī Farroxzurwān Bōzišn", and *MHD*, I. 40. 3 "Bōzišn". "Bōzan" (?), *Nisā* 1949/4.
- b MS zywndk, cf. hambāy i zīndag, MHD, I. 24. 2: Bulsara zîvandak, Code, 163, Klingenschmitt dīnīy (!), MSS, 21. 62.
 - ^c MS KBYDWN-t for 'BYDWN-t by copyist's error.
- ^d A sentence must be missing here, since the following sentence has no bearing on the preceding subject. This is, in fact, the concluding sentence of *MHD*, I. 22. 13-23.1.

Also if a brother has a partner-brother (his) * $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$) does not descend to (his) sister. Bōzišn so maintained: A partner (brother) who has not been established as a designate ($st\bar{u}r$)^a in the lifetime (of the deceased) is only liable to the guardianship (over the family of the deceased)... and if the elder brother dies first, thereupon, she succeeds to his $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$), and if the younger one dies (first), she does not.

³⁷ On abarmand in the sense of "succession" see above MHD, I, 89. 17-90. 1.

³⁸ Lit. went aloft.

^a On kardag "designate" see SMR, 328.

Pagliaro interprets the phrase \bar{o} kartak kartan "actio in ius", RSO, 23. 67, and the whole passage of the MHD, 1. 23. 15-16 as "Da Boxšān era ritenuto che al socio, al quale da vivo non è stata fatta ingiunzione formale, spetta tutta la potesta", ibid., 65-6. However, other considerations apart, zindag does not refer to the associate (hambāy), but to the principal, i.e. the deceased brother for whom an $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ stūrīh is to be instituted, and \bar{o} kardag kardan should be rendered "to establish as designate (stūr)", otherwise, the sentence would make little sense. On the obligation of guardianship by a partner-brother see MHD, II. 26. 10-12.

MHD, I. 23. 17-24. 2:

ka pid <ud> duxt-ē ud dūdag ī pus-ē pad ān dūdag x^{**}āstag 60 ast, duxt sālārīh pad pid, ud ka pid frāz rawēd *ayōkēn ī brād čē widard pid <duxt> a abāg dūdag ī pus hambāy.

^a The context requires the emendation.

If there is a father, a daughter and the family of a son, and there is a property worth $60 (sat\bar{e}rs)^a$ in that family, the guardianship over the daughter rests with the father. And if the father passes away (the daughter succeeds to) the brother's $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n\bar{i}h$, since on (her) father's death she becomes an associate of the brother's family.

^a On 60 satērs as the minimum capital for establishing a stūrih marriage see SMR, 328-30; Perikhanian, HMV, 357.

MHD, I. 69, 12:

duxt ī padīriftag *ayōkēn ī brād abar nē mānēd.

An adopted daughter does not succeed to the ayōkēn (stūrīh) of her brother ³⁹.

MHD, I. 49, 2-3:

zan ī čakar ka barwar^a ā-š *ayōkēn abar ōh mānēd.^b tā dād ī 50° sālāg pad barwar dārišn.

- ^a blwl is explained by FP, Junker 1955, p. 20 as "brother", not attested in any other text. Bartholomae reads barwar but interprets "nutzbringend", ZsR, V. 29. Bulsara reads correctly barvar "fruitful", Code, 298. The word is synonymous with *barōmand explained by FO. 2f as Av. barəθra- "pregnant", cf. NP bārvar, bārdār.
- b MS YHWWN-yt, apparently rewritten by an unskilled hand. The idiomatic phrase requires QDM KN KTLWN-yt, abar ōh mānēd. Bartholomae apar ō bovēt, ibid.
- $^{\rm c}$ Modi restores the missing word as LXX, but according to the context this cannot be more than L, 50, the maximum age for a woman to bear children.
 - 39 i.e. her brother german.

Bartholomae's translation of this sentence is untenable, ZsR, V. 29.

If a $\check{c}akar^{40}$ woman is able to bear children, then the $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$) descends to her. She should be considered able to bear children till the age of 50 (?).

MHD, I. 87. 1-2:

ka gōwēd ku <-m tā 10 sāl> a tan pad zanīh ō tō dād ēg-iš andar 10 sāl *ayōkēn abar ōh mānēd.

^a A gap just wide enough for m 'D X ŠNT is recovered in accordance with the tenor of the context.

If one declares: "I give myself to you in marriage for 10 years", thereupon, she succeeds to his ayōkēn (stūrīh) for those 10 years a.

^a i.e. if the husband dies within those 10 years, she is liable to assume his $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}rih$), in this case $\check{c}akarih$, till the end of that period when her marriage contract ends.

MHD, I. 23. 10-13:

ka brād 2 ud x^wah-ē ān gyāg x^wah sālārīh pad brād ī mih ud būd kē guft ku ka x^wāstag nēst ēg-iz hambāy ōh bawēd ud ka^a ast ēg-iz pad rāh ī ham-windišnīh abāz gumēxtēd. abāg-iz ēd ku *ayōkēn x^wāstag x^wāst nē āmār.

^a Better 'MT-s, where the enclitic pronoun refers to the guardian-brother.

If there are two brothers and one sister, the guardianship over the sister rests with the elder brother. And there was (a jurisconsult) who maintained: If (his) is no property, thereupon, he shall become (her) associate, and if (he) has property, then, it shall, by way of profit-sharing, not be mixed 41 . Also in conjunction with this (case): an $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$'s 42 demanding property (from the capital) cannot be taken into account.

MHD, I. 47. 7-14:

Wāyayyār nibišt ku ka mard-ē x^m āstag pad stūrīh ī x^m ēš apaydāg kard ud pad dāštan har sāl ē sāl ō Farrox < ud> ē sāl ō Mihrēn dād Farrox ud Mihrēn jud jud pad ān x^m āstāg yō hē pasčaita dōh bawēd,

⁴⁰ On čakar, a widow assuming the stūrih (in this case leviration) of her issueless deceased husband see SMR, 331. Should she be fruitful the obligation of her husband's successorship ($ay\delta k\bar{e}nih$) remains binding on her till the age of 50 (? 70).

⁴¹ It is to be noted that in the second case the profit (windišn) and not the capital is mixed.

⁴² i.e. a would-be ayōkēn (successor by stūrīh), in our case the sister.

čē ēd-iz pādixšāy ka frāz az x^wēš be dahēd jud jud zan padiš kard xūb, čē ēd-iz pādixšāy ka be ō zanān dahēd. yō hē pasčaita ān bawēd ī pad ān sāl zāyēd ka-š stūrīh pad ō zan pad ān sāl kunišn. kas-š stūrīh padiš ēstēd ķa az kūst ī būdag be bawēd ud andar būdagīh pad x^wēšīh be ō mard-ē rasēd az ēn kūst pad x^wēšīh ud az kūst ī did pad stūrīh rawēd.

- ^a MS NPŠH, Bartholomae unwarrantedly emends to NYŠH in order to lend credence to his misinterpreted conception of *stūrih*, ZsR, V. 39.
 - b MS HD, ē, ēw.
 - ^c MS HN', ēd, frequently used for ē "one".
 - d MS sčyť miswritten for psčyť, in Pahlavi script.

Wāyayyār wrote: If a person has appointed 43 a property to be used for his own $st\bar{u}rih$ 44 and has given it to be administered (held) of every two years one year by Farrox and one year by Mihrēn, (thereupon) Farrox and Mihrēn separately become his $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}rs$) instituted by that property 45 . It is also possible for him either to assign it to be held after his death in order that they may, one at a time, (i.e. in alternate years) take to themselves a ($st\bar{u}r$) wife by that (property), which is legally sound, or 46 to assign it for $st\bar{u}rih$ to the ($st\bar{u}r$) women. (Then) the obligated $st\bar{u}r$ - successor ($y\bar{o}$ $h\bar{e}$ pasčaita or $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$) would be the one who begets (a son) in that year (term) which he has instituted a woman to $st\bar{u}rih$ 47 . If the $st\bar{u}rih$ rests with (both of) them, and if one side (party) is a $b\bar{u}dag$ 48 , the (property) passes to (this) man in absolute ownership for him being a $b\bar{u}dag$, (thus) the property passes to one side in private ownership and to the other side (in trust) for $st\bar{u}rih$ (in alternate years).

- ⁴⁴ Bartholomae translates: "für die Pflege seiner Frau", ibid., 39.
- 45 Bartholomae's translation ends here.
- ⁴⁶ ODM ... ODM, če ... če here means "whether (either) ... or', as in NP.

⁴³ The verbal phrase "paydāg kardan" used in legal MP terminology in the sense of "assign, appoint, specify" as well as "show, prove" (MHD, II. 6. 1) has continued in classical NP in all these meanings, cf. Tārīx-e Bal'amī, ed. Bahar, p. 1050, and Šāhnāme, ed. Moscow, Vol. 8, p. 183. M. Boyce has taken it in the sense of "to devise" (BSOAS, 31 1968 p. 274, n. 25) which, although fitting in reference to a deceased, is not its proper sense.

⁴⁷ Bartholomae's translation of this sentence runs: Als "yō hē pasčaēta" gilt das, was in dem Jahr anfällt, in welchem Jahr von ihm die Pflege für jene Frau zu führen ist: ZsR, V. 40.

⁴⁸ For a *stūr* i *būdag* "*stūr* at-law" holding the property assigned for *stūrih* in absolute ownership ($x''\bar{e}\bar{s}it$) see *SMR*, 329.

MHD, I. 69. 3-9:

abāg ān ī nibišt ku ka kunēd ku-m ēn anšahrīg har 2 sāl ē sāl ō Mihrēn dād ān anšahrīg bē pad ham-dādistānīh ī āginēn ēnyā āzād kardan nē pādixšāy ud ka yak bahr ī x š pad hursandīh ī ōy ī did āzād kunēd hamēwēn āzād—nigīrīdan. +ud ka+d ē sāl pad stūrīh ō Farrox ud ē sāl ō Mihrēn dād Farrox ud Mihrēn jud jud pad ān x šāstag yō hē pasčaita ōh bawēd. ud ka az kūst ī būdag be bawēd andar būdagīh pad x š šīh ō mard-ē rasēd az ēn kūst pad x š šīh ud az kūst-ē did pad stūrīh rasēd-nigīrīdan.

- ^a Missing in MS Modi, but preserved in DJ HD, ē, ēw, Bulsara, Code, 385.
- ^b The SW form is *hursand* as against the NW *hunsand*, Paz. *hōnsand*. Cf. *hwrsnd* = *hwlsnd*, FP, Chap. 31. 5 where the r is spelt l.
 - c Repeated, the first in a corrupt form.
- ^d MS $\check{S}NT$, so Bulsara, Code, 385, a copyist's mistake when retracing the obliterated W 'MT.
 - e MS YHWWN-t for YHWWN-yt'.

In conjunction with that it is written: If a person settles: I have given this slave to Mihrēn one year of every two years, that slave may not be set free except by mutual agreement ⁴⁹. And if one of them sets his own share (of the slave) free with the consent of the other, he shall be free accordingly—(it is to be) deliberated. And if he assigns him one year to Farrox and one year to Mihrēn for $st\bar{u}r\bar{t}h$, Farrox and Mihrēn shall separately become $ay\bar{o}k\bar{e}n$ ($st\bar{u}rs$) by that property. And if one side is a $b\bar{u}dag$, the slave passes to that man in absolute ownership for him being a $b\bar{u}dag$ ($st\bar{u}r$), (thus) (the slave) passes to one side in private ownership and to the other side (in trust) for $st\bar{u}r\bar{i}h$ ⁵⁰ (in alternate years).

⁴⁹ This section has been translated by Bartholomae, ZsR, III, 56.

⁵⁰ i.e. the slave as a property assigned for $st\bar{u}r\bar{t}h$ is to be held in alternate years by the $b\bar{u}dag$ $st\bar{u}r$ ($st\bar{u}r$ at-law) in ownership and by the other in usufruct, see SMR, 329.