used as though itself also a feminine; for case formation, see Lex. s.v. nāman-. Typical examples: DB 2.29f Dādaršiš nāma Arminiya manā badaka avam frāišayam Arminam 'Dadarshi by name, an Armenian, my subject—him I sent forth to Armenia.'

DB 1.58f Sikayauvatiš nāmā didā Nisāya nāmā dahyāuš Mādaiy avadašim avājanam 'a fortress by name Sikayauvati, a district by name Nisaya, in Media—there I smote him.'

These phrases are perhaps based on similar phrasings in Aramaic, which suggest this manner of expression, if indeed they are not caused merely by the difficulty of expressing clearly by case endings such a statement as that just cited, with an accumulation of locatives the relation of which to each other might be obscure: 'in the fortress Sikayauvati in the district Nisaya in Media' (the same difficulty was met in expressing genealogical lines with a string of genitives; note the substitutes in DB 1.4–6 and A²Sa).

I. With names of persons, 41 occurrences (9 in a list, DB 4.8-29, and 6 in another list, DB 4.83-6). The resumptive is have, have (DB 1.29). avam, abiy avam (DB 3.56f). In some passages the phrase has āha 'was' and makes a complete sentence (DB 1.30, 36; 4.8; XPf 18, 19); in three of these (DB 1.30; XPf 18, 19) the continuation precludes reference by resumptive pronoun. There is also no resumptive in Sa, where the name-phrase is the entire inscription, nor in the corrupt A³Pa 19f, where nāma is used twice with genitives (one a nominative form in genitive function). Further, the nāma-subject in DB 2.8f and 3.22 is not repeated by a pronoun before the verb, because a nāma-phrase of place intervenes, which has its own resumptive avadā in each passage. The six helpers of Darius (DB 4.83-6) are not followed by resumptives, because they stand in a list appositive to a preceding substantive, and are not further mentioned. Typical phrasings are those of DB 2.29f (quoted above) and of 1.77f I martiya Bābiruviya Naditabaira nāma Ainairahyā puça hauv udapatatā Bābirauv 'One man, a Babylonian, Nidintu-Bel by name, son of Ainaira—he rose up in Babylon.' A preceding I martiya, as in this passage, is the only generic term used for persons. The most interesting example is DB 3.12-4, where the nominative nama-phrase stands after the verb and functions as direct object, without resump-

tive: pasāva adam frāišayam Dādaršiš nāma Pārsa manā badaka Bāxtriyā xšaçapāvā abiy avam 'Afterward I sent forth a Persian by name Dadarshi, my subject, satrap in Bactria, against him (= Frada).'

II. With names of places, 32 occurrences. The common sequence is typified by DB 2.39 Tigra nāmā didā Arminiyaiy avadā hamaranam akunava 'A stronghold by name Tigra, in Armenia—there they made battle.' A more elaborate phrase is in DB 1.58f, quoted above. After the place name and nāma or nāmā follows a generic name, then commonly but not always a locative stating the governmental province in which the place is located (replaced in DB 1.92 by anuv Ufrātuvā 'beside the Euphrates'), and then a resumptive adverb. The generic names are dahyāuš 'district, province', didā 'fortress, stronghold', vardanam 'town', āvahanam 'village', kaufa 'mountain', rauta 'river'. The resumptive adverbs are avadā 'there', hacā avadaša 'from there' (DB 1.37, 3.79; DSf 47), hacā avanā 'from that (mountain)' (DSf 31), avaparā 'along there' (DB 2.72). The aberrant example is DZc 9 hacā Pirāva nāma rauta 'from a river by name Nile', where the nominative phrase functions as an ablative depending on hacā.

§313. The Artaxerxes Genealogies take a form not found in earlier inscriptions; type, 'A son of B son of C son of D, an Achaemenian'. Since 'Achaemenian' applies to 'A', it is clear that the intervening phrases are dependent phrases (not independent sentences, as they are sometimes translated). This makes a difficulty in OP, for a succession of genitives from 'B' to 'D' would hardly be intelligible; therefore the appositive puça 'son' is always in the nominative, as are other embarrassing appositives (cf. §257, §312).

I. Inscriptions A¹I, A²Sa, A²Sc, A²Ha, A²Hc have genealogies of the type found in A²Sa 1–3: Artaxšaçā... Dārayavaušahyā XŠhyā puça Dārayavaušahyā Artaxšaçahyā XŠhyā puça Artaxšaçahyā Xšayārcahyā Xšhyā puça Xšayārcahyā Dārayavaušahyā XŠhyā puça D[āra]yavaušahyā V²Štāspahyā puça Haxamān^ašiya.¹ In this the first phrase of parentage is grammatically correct; in the second, the gen. Dārayavaušahyā is repeated as a basis for clarity, and the appositive puça is in the nom. (see above), with proper depending

¹ The neologisms in the passage are treated in §57.

genitives; further phrases are of the type of the second. At the end, 'Achaemenian' is appositive to the initial 'Artaxerxes'. We may imitate this scheme in English, as follows: 'Artaxerxes, . . . son of Darius the King, of Darius (who was) son of Artaxerxes the King, of Artaxerxes (who was) son of Xerxes the King, of Xerxes (who was) son of Darius the King, of Darius (who was) son of Hystaspes, an Achaemenian.'2

II. The inscriptions A2Sb, A2Sd, A2Hb, AsH, A³Pa have a different scheme, in which the nominative of the royal name replaces the genitive. Only A³Pa is truly cogent, for the others have only these slightly varying versions of 'son of Darius the King': A2Sb Dārayavauš XŠyā puça, A2Sd Dārayavauš XŠāhyā puça, A²Hb Dārayavauš XŠ puçā; and 'son of Ariaramnes the King', in AsH Ariyāramna xšāyaθiyahyā puça. But A³Pa has a full lineage from Artaxerxes III back to Arsames, and uses the nominative for all royal names except for Hystaspes, also replacing the genitive of 'king' by nom, $x \not\in \bar{a} u a \theta i u a$, like $X \not\in S$ in A²Hb. Apparently OP had by this time become virtually a dead language employed only in writing ceremonial official records, its spoken form having suffered a wearing down of the endings (seen very clearly in Pahlavi). With the loss of the genitive ending it was natural to employ the nominative as a general case, as it had already been thus used in appositions. The gen. $V^i \xi t \bar{a} s p a h y \bar{a}$ probably persisted because in the earlier inscriptions available to the scribes of Artaxerxes I and later the name of Hystaspes occurred much more frequently in the genitive than in the nominative, and the scribes therefore used the familiar form in the inscriptions which they composed.

Another use of the nominative as genitive is seen in $A^2Sa \ 4 \ [va\check{s}n\bar{a} : AM : Ana]h^*ta \ [: u]t\bar{a} : [M^i]\theta ra$ 'by the favor of Ahuramazda, Anaitis, and Mithras' (so also in A^2Ha , restored), where the occurrence of the same forms as nominatives in lines 4f sets a model; though the position of the gaps makes it possible to restore the genitive endings in A^2Sa , their insertion would make the line unduly long.

§314. Anacoluthon is the use of a grammatical element in a form which does not find its justifi-

- cation in the remainder of the sentence. This occurs in OP in connection with $n\bar{a}ma$ -phrases (§312) with genealogies (§313), with relative clauses, and occasionally elsewhere.
- (a) A relative clause may have a preceding general antecedent in the nominative, and a following resumptive pronoun in the logical case: DB 1.21f martiya hya agriya āha avam ubartam abaram, where the nom. martiya is resumed and set in proper syntactical relation by the acc. avam; DNa 48-50 aita tya kartam ava visam vašnā Auramazdāha akunavam, where acc. ava repeats the presumably nom. aita; DNb 16f martiya hya hataxšataiy anu-dim [ha]kartahyā avabā-dim paribarāmiy, where nom. martiya is resumed by acc. -dim (expressed twice).
- (b) In AmH the misuse of cases seems to rest upon iyam dahyāuš Pārsā (for Pārsa, §53) 'this country Persia' in line 5, after which the resumptive avām is lacking in 6 as object of frābara in 7. From iyam dahyāuš Pārsā comes the nominative for locative in line 2, xšāyaθiya Pārsā 'king in Persia', and in lines 8f xšāyaθiya iyam dahyāuš 'king in this country'.
- (c) A relative and its antecedent are both omitted in XPh 30–2 astiy atar aitā dahyāva tyaiy upariy nipištā ayauda 'there is (= 'was', \$285) among these provinces which (are) inscribed above (one which) was in turmoil'.

§315. Features of OP Style. While OP had no developed literary style, and probably many of its fashions of expression are taken over direct from Aramaic, lingua franca of the ancient Orient, still there are some peculiarities which may be listed as stylistic: the use of the naming phrases (§312), anacoluthon and its phenomena of resumptive pronouns and adverbs (§314), asyndeton between main clauses and between coordinate parts of subordinate clauses (§290, §291), certain types of omissions (§\$275–6), chiasmus (§317), riming phrases (§318), the use of the neuter singular as predicate to a masculine (§259), the repetition of $m\bar{a}$ with successive subjects in a pro-

² Cf. J. R. Ware, TAPA 55.56-7; a different view on the syntax of these genealogies is expressed by E. H. Sturtevant, JAOS 48.66-73.

^{&#}x27;More commonly without the preceding antecedent, as in DB 4.50f tyaiy paruvā xšāyaðiyā yātā āha avaišām avā (= avaðā, §52.VI) raiy astiy kartam 'who (were) the former kings, as long as they were, by them thus has not been done ...'. 'But in XPh 43-5 aita tya adam akunavam visām vašnā Auramazdahā akunavam I take aita as acc., since a resumptive ava is lacking.

hibition (§292.b), abnormal position of adjectives (§306), variation in order of predicate noun and the copula (§307).

\$316. STYLISTIC OMISSIONS occur in OP, especially of the copula when there is a predicate adjective or phrase; cf. §275, §276. Examples: DB 1.5f Cišpāiš pitā (sc. āha) Haxāmaniš; 1.9 VIII manā taumāyā (sc. āha) tyaiy . . .; 1.10 adam (sc. amiy) navama; 1.13 imā (sc. ha\(^n\tiy\)) dahyāva tyaiy . . .; 1.15 tyaiy drayahyā (sc. ha\(^n\tiy\)); or possibly dāraya\(^n\tiy\), cf. XPh 23f); 1.27 ima (sc. astiy) tya manā kartam (sc. astiv).

The pronoun 'that' is omitted before an immediately following relative, as in DB 1.27 ima tya manā kartam 'this (is that) which (was) done by me'.

Another type of stylistic omission is found when a clause is repeated, and one or more words of the prior clause are not repeated in the second, but are to be understood from the prior clause. Thus DNb 35–7 yaciy vaināmiy hamiçiyam yaciy naiy vaināmiy (sc. hamiçiyam) 'whatever I see (to be) rebellious, whatever I see (to be) not (rebellious)'; and similarly in DNb 38-40.

§317. Chiasmus, or change of order in corresponding pairs so as to give the sequence a-b—b-a, suits the shift in emphasis which attends the repetition; it occurs several times in the OP texts. DSf 12f hya manā pitā Vištāspa utā Aršāma hya manā [ni]yāka 'my father Hystaspes and Arsames

my grandfather'. DSf 36f tya ida akariya comes at the end of its sentence, 38 hya idā karta comes immediately after the subject, 40 hya idā karta comes at the end of its sentence. XPh 47f šiyāta ahaniy jīva utā marta artāvā ahaniy 'happy may I be while living, and when dead blessed may I be'; but there is no such variation in the order when the ideas are repeated in 54–6. On DNb 34, 41–4, see §307.

§318. RIMING PHRASES are sometimes used in OP for the expression of semantic coordinates, even though the coordinate words are of different grammatical forms; but we must not assume that the OP vowels gave as precise rimes in pronunciation as they do in writing. The following examples may be cited:

DB 1.20 xšapa-vā rauca-pati-vā 'by either night or day', in which xšapa- is gen. and rauca- is acc.

DPe 13f tyaiy uškahyā utā tyaiy drayahyā 'those which are of the mainland and those which are beside the sea', where a gen. in *-ahyā is paired with a loc. in *-ahi + $-\bar{a}$.

DB 4.56 (and 4.75) utātaiy taumā vasiy biyā utā dargam jīvā 'and may family be unto thee in abundance, and do thou live long', where opt. biyā' rimes with imv. *jīvā.

DNa 44f parāgmatā, nom. sg. fem. of the past participle, and 47 patiyajatā, 3d sg. imf. ind. mid., occur at the ends of successive corresponding sentences.

INDEX OF PASSAGES CITED IN CHAPTER VII

AmH 314b	9f: 309
2: 314b	9-11: 285
5: 314b	10: 316
6: 314b	11f: 250B; 252C
7: 314b	12: 250G; 285; 310.VI
8f: 314b	13: 250.I; 316
AsH 3f: 313.II	13f: 311.I
DB I 1: 247A, B, C; 257; 262.I	15: 251A; 301a; 316
1f: 250C	19: 249A; 274b; 310.VI
2: 251A	20: 249K; 250E; 271; 291.III; 318
3f: 247A; 285	21: 267.I, IV
4: 250A; 309	21f: 314a
4-6: 312	23: 252B; 261.IV; 305a
5f: 316	23f: 286
7: 247B	25: 294; 304f; 311.I
7f: 285	27: 250B; 267.I; 304b; 310.VII; 316 bis
8: 259; 261.IV; 310.VII	28: 309
9: 316	28f: 250D

THE OF THE OLD	
29: 263; 312.I	67: 305a
29f: 250A	69: 261.III; 294; 304f; 305a
30: 250J; 312.I bis	70: 295.n1; 305d
31: 304a	71: 291.II
31f: 250G	72: 304b
32: 302e	73: 304b
33: 304b	74: 262.II
34f: 251A, F; 257 bis	75: 250G
36: 262.II; 312.I	76f: 291,I
37: 312.II	77: 262.II
37f: 250D; 276.II	77f: 312.I
38: 283; 290; 297; 304a	79: 261.III
39: 261.III	79f: 306.I
40: 250A; 306.I	81: 251A; 261.III
40f; 257	82: 274d; 310.VII
41f: 274a; 310.II	85: 310
42f; 290	86: 251B; 252G
44: 261.II, III; 266	87: 250H; 255
44f; 249D	89: 261.III
45: 310.VII	90: 286
46: 310.IV	91: 304a
46f: 249D	91f: 249G
47: 259; 274a	92: 252E; 263; 312.II
48: 310.I	93: 249G; 274a
48f; 257	93f: 282b
49: 250D	95: 274d
49f: 249D bis; 249E; 261.II	DB II 3: 310.VII
50: 261.III; 271; 279.II; 283; 288; 301d;	6: 304d
310.IV; 311.IV	6f: 250A
51: 261.I; 279.IIIb; 302b	8f: 312.I
52: 278.IIId; 279.IIIb; 292b; 302b; 305e;	12: 269
311 bis; 311.V	16: 271
52f: 249C; 302e	18: 269
53: 257	18f: 259; 262.I
53f: 282a	19f: 250A
54: 257; 304f	19-21: 258.III
55: 274a	20f: 289
57f: 267.II; 310.III	21: 261.III; 267.III; 278.IIc
58f: 312; 312.II	21f: 252A
59: 249D	22: 304a
61: 271	23: 252A; 270.I; 286
61f: 276.I	23f: 251A
62: 271; 288; 310.II	25f: 261.III
62f; 251B	27: 247B; 309
63: 305a	28: 304f
64: 258,III	29f: 312; 312.I
64f: 252.I	30: 310.VII
65: 258.III, IV	30f: 258.I; 267.III
65f: 249D	31: 278.IIe; 280.I; 310.VIII
66f: 291.I	32: 275; 304b

OLD PERSIAN

201 2400 202	rot orol T
32f: 249G; 283	58f: 250A, F
35: 261.III; 306.III	59: 257, 278.He
38: 275	65: 275
39: 312.II	70: 263
43: 275	73: 249G; 261.VI; 270.IV
48: 304f	73f: 249G
50f: 267,III	77: 304d
51: 278.IIc; 310.VIII	78: 250A
52: 275; 304b	80: 271; 312.II
56: 252D	81f: 250A
57f: 275	82:-249G
61f: 249K	86: 267.III; 278.IIe; 292a; 301a
62: 271; 283	92: 271
62f: 286	DB IV 1f: 261.I; 275
63: 304f	3f: 261.I; 275
64f: 251D	4f: 250E
65: 254; 286; 304a	5: 304b; 310.VII
66: 263	5f: 258.III
72: 249G; 312.II	7–30: 265
72f: 249G	8: 312.I
73: 274d	8–29: 312.I
75: 251A	12: 250A
76: 251A, B; 271	34: 305d; 311
77: 267.II	34f: 265
79f: 250A	35: 305a; 311
84: 258.I; 267.III; 278.IIc; 292a; 301a;	35f: 249.I
310.VIII	36: 311
91:271	37: 278.IIa; 310.VIII
92f: 259	38: 274a; 278.IIa; 280.I
DB III 3: 304b	39: 278.IIIa; 302d
7f: 276.II	39f: 278.Ic
9f: 250A	42: 278.IIa; 280.I
11: 249L; 250A; 312	43: 278.Ib; 292b; 311
11f: 258.III	44:295
12–4: 312.I	44f: 283; 302a
15: 278.IIe	46: 288
22: 312.I	46f: 276.I; 307
26: 252E; 261.III; 271	46-8: 290
27: 250A	48: 278.IIa; 305e; 311
30: 269	48f: 292b
32: 250K; 261.IV; 271; 306.III	49: 292a
34: 304a	49f: 278.IIId
35: 263	50f: 314.n1
45: 258.III	
48: 258.III	51: 288; 304e
49: 258.III	51f: 276.I; 305a; 307
52: 271	53: 280.I
54: 263	54: 278.Ib; 281
56f: 312.I	55: 278.IIIa bis; 292a
58: 264	55f: 249B

	DD 101 001 TV
56: 249J; 250H; 279.Ib bis; 280.I; 318	DBa12f: 261.IV
58: 278.IIIa; 279.Ib; 292a	DB k 2: 261.III
59: 279.Ib	DPa 5f: 265
60f: 310.III	DPd: 286
63: 295; 305c	1f: 250D
64:269	2: 257
65: 249D	2f: 249E
67f: 310.VIII	6f: 257
68: 278.IIa bis	9–11: 257
68f: 258.IV; 278.Ha; 300b	16f: 271
69: 279.Ia; 280.I; 311.III	18–20: 292b
70: 278.IIa	19: 279.Ib
71: 278,Ib; 292b; 304e; 311	20–2: 249D, F; 310.IV
72: 278.IIIb	DPe 3f: 261.III
73: 278.IIIa bis; 291.II bis; 292a	8f: 306.IIb
73f: 291.I; 300a; 311.I	9f: 274b
74: 278.IIIa; 278.IIIb; 279.Ib; 304e	13f: 318
74f: 279.Ib	20: 278.IIIa
75: 278.Ha; 280.I; 318	20f: 302d
75f: 267.IV	21: 281; 306.IIb
77: 278.IIIa bis	22: 261.n2; 276.II; 278.IIIa bis; 297; 306.IIb
77f: 300a	23: 283
78: 278.IIIa; 278.IIIb; 291.II; 292a; 304e	24: 249G; 278.Ia; 289
78f: 250C; 279.Ib	DPh 5: 252F
79: 278.Ha; 279.Ib	5f: 261.I; 271
81: 274.n1; 304a	6: 253B
82f: 250A	7: 251D; 254; 271
83–6: 312.I bis	7f: 253B
87: 278.Ha; 310.VIII	8: 311.I
87f: 261.IV	9f: 311.I
90: 288	DNa: 286
90f: 274d	1–3: 264
DB V 2f: 264; 271	3f: 250H
3: 304b; 310.VII	4: 310.VI
4: 312	5f: 249F
12: 261.IV	7f: 250C
17: 305a	11f: 265
19: 278.IIb, IIIb; 301b; 304e	18f: 250C
19f: 250A	19f: 274b
21f: 249G	21: 261.IV
23: 304b	31f: 304b
23f: 249G	32: 258.III
24f: 255	36: 251B; 258.III
26: 274d	36f: 258.III
27: 309	37: 305a
29: 305a	37f: 290
33: 305a	38: 278.IIIa
34f: 278.IIb; 301b	38f: 302d
35: 278.IIIb; 304e	39: 259; 264; 290; 310.I
35f: 250A	42: 278.Ia
001. 20UA	LM: ME UNCO

~ -	
42-7: 302d	27f: 259; 311.V
43: 278.Ib	28f: 295; 304a bis; 311.I
43f: 306.IIc	29: 291.III; 297 bis; 300b
43-5: 275	29f: 278.IIIc
44f: 318	32f: 311; 311.II, V
45f: 278.Ib	33: 305e
46: 306.IIc	34: 307; 317
47: 318	34f: 293
48: 266; 267.I	35: 274d; 278.Ib
48-50: 314a	35–7: 316
51: 304f	36: 291.I
52: 283	38: 304c
53f: 310.IV	38f: 297
56: 248	38-40: 316
56f: 250B; 261.IV	39: 295; 300e; 304e
58: 281	40f: 252D
60: 281	41f: 307
DNb: 286	41-5: 308; 317
2: 274d	42f: 307
2f: 310.VI	44: 307
4f: 257	45-7: 267.V
	46: 269
6f: 259	46f: 282a
7: 305d	49: 269
8: 299; 302c	
8f: 249D; 261.V	50: 274b; 280.I; 289; 290
9: 250K; 270.III; 279.IIIa	50f: 259
10: 261.V; 283; 299; 302c	50-2: 302e; 310.I
10f: 249D; 250K; 264; 270.III	51: 311.V
11: 279.IIIa; 283	51f: 311.V
11f: 259	52: 311
12: 261.V	53: 264; 281
15: 250C; 283	54f: 276.IV; 288; 311.I
16: 250K; 271	55: 264; 311
16f: 267.IV; 314a	56: 274d; 276.IV; 278.Ib; 288
18: 250K; 271; 283	57: 264
19: 299; 302c	58: 311
20: 264; 279.IIIa; 290; 311; 311.V	59: 278.Ib
20f: 297; 303b	60: 280.I
21: 279.IIIa, c; 292a; 302c	DN I: 265
21f: 310.VIII	DSa 4: 264
22: 271	5: 250G; 278.Ic
22–6: 301b	DSe: 286
23: 294	31f: 276.IV
23f: 304f; 306.IIa	32–7: 258.IV
24f: 310.VIII	34: 264
24-6: 252H	34–7: 305d
25: 271; 291.III; 297 bis; 300b	35: 295, n1
25f: 309	38–41: 305d
26: 276.II; 283	39: 295, n1
27: 276.II	39f: 261.V

46f:252G	12: 305a
48: 270.IV; 310.VII	DH 4: 252F
DSf: 286	4f: 301a
3f: 310.V	5: 253B
9: 261.III	5f: 251D; 254
11f: 261.III	6: 253B
12f: 261.IV; 308; 312; 317	XPa 13f: 252E
13–5: 290	15: 311.I
14: 261.VI; 291.IV; 297; 304a	16: 274d
15f: 290	19f: 311.I
16f: 310.V	XPc 14: 257
19f: 282a	XPf 18: 312.I bis
20: 311.I	19: 312.I bis
23: 311.I	20-5: 290
24: 304f	21: 291.IV
25: 276.III; 283; 304b	21f: 249.I
25f: 257	22f: 261.I
28f: 291.I; 300a; 302a	25: 304a
30f: 261.III	28f: 250.n1; 306.I
31: 312.II	29f: 290
32f: 251B	30: 261.III
33: 251D; 254	32: 304a
34: 251B	32–4: 251D
36f: 317	33:254
37: 261.III	36: 304a
38: 317	38: 250B; 276.I; 288
40: 317	XPg 10: 269
41: 308.n1	XPh 16: 271
42: 261.I	17: 274b
44: 251D; 254	23f: 316
47: 312.II	29: 299; 304a; 311
48: 274b	30: 285, 310.I
51: 274b	30f: 258.IV
53: 274b	30-2: 314c
56f: 276.IV	31: 267.VI
57f: 261.I; 310.III	35f: 296; 305b; 311
DSi 3: 304a	38f:302d
DSi 3: 305a	39: 279.Ia; 296 bis; 305b
5: 278.Ha	43: 266; 267.I
6: 278.Ic	43–5: 314.n2
	45f: 304f
DSl 3f: 278.IIIe; 302d	47: 276.II; 278.Ie; 278.IIIa; 302d
DSn 1: 282a	47f: 308; 317
DSs: 285	48: 276.II; 278.Ic; 283
6: 258.IV	49: 264
DSt 10: 278.IIa	50: 279.Ia
DZc 3f: 310.VI	50f: 252.I
9: 271; 282a; 312.II	51f: 264
10: 285	51-6: 285; 301b
11: 249G; 305a	53f: 252.I

54–6: 317 55: 276.III 55f: 276.II XSc 3: 304b; 310.VII 4: 266

XV 22: 296; 305b 23f: 282a

A¹I: 313.I

D²Sa 1: 251C; 255 A²Sa: 312; 313.I, II

> 1-3: 313.I 3: 311.I

4: 269; 311.I; 313.II 4f: 291.I; 313.II

5: 278.Ib

A²Sb: 313.II bis A²Sc: 313.I A2Sd: 313.II bis

3: 311.III A²Ha: 313.I, II 5f: 291.I

7: 278.Ib

A2Hb: 251C; 255; 313.II ter

A²He: 313.I 14f: 312.n1

A³Pa: 313.II ter

5f: 247E 19f: 312.I

22f: 250B 23: 269

26: 250B Wa 1: 252D Wc 1: 251C Sa: 312.I

PART II. THE TEXTS

This part contains first a summary description of the inscriptions, with their special bibliography; certain inscriptions extant in Elamite or in Akkadian or in both, but not in OP, are listed in the bibliography, but only to explain a gap in the alphabetic labeling of the OP inscriptions.

Then follow the OP texts, each attended by notes of critical and exegetical nature, if needed, and by translation.

DESCRIPTION AND BIBLIOGRAPHY OF THE INSCRIPTIONS

Bibliographical items of 1906 and earlier are given only for special reasons, but may be traced in Wb. KIA and elsewhere.

AmH = Ariaramnes, Hamadan: A slightly incomplete OP text in 10 lines, on a gold tablet; there is no evidence that the lost part of the tablet held Elam. and Akk. versions. Hz. AMI 2.117-27 (1930), 4.132-9 (1932), 8.17-35 (1938), ApI 1-2 No. 1 (1938); Hz. Die Goldtafel des Āriyāramna, in Berliner Museen, Berichte aus den preussischen Kunstsammlungen 52.3.52-5, with plate (1931); HHSchaeder, SbPAW 1931.635-45, 1935.494-8; WBrandenstein. WZKM 39.13-9 (1932); Bv. Gr. §3 (1931); RGKent, JAOS 56.215 (1936); Sen, OPI 176-7 (1941); Sidney Smith, Isaiah Chapters XL-LV 122-3 (1944); RGKent, JAOS 66.206-12 (1946). See also §18, with note 2.

ASH = Arsames, Hamadan: OP only, 14 lines, on a gold tablet in three pieces, complete except for lower right corner; about 9 x 13 cm.; found at Hamadan; see §18, with note 2. Sidney Smith, Isaiah Chapters XL-LV 122 (1944); RGKent, JAOS 66.209-12 (1946); AUPope, The Illustrated London News, July 17 1948, pp. 58-9. On exhibition at the Musée Cernuschi (Paris), in the summer of 1948, where it was No. 54 of the Catalogue Illustré (no date).

CMa = Cyrus, Murghab a: 5 or more copies of a trilingual inscription, OP two lines, Elam. and Akk. one line each, on columns and pillars of the palace. Wb. ZDMG 48.653-65 (1894), KIA xxviii-xxix, lxvii-lxix, 126-7 (1911); Hz. Klio 8.60 (1906); Jn. Persia Past and Present 281-2 (1908); Tm. Lex. 55-6 (1908); Sen, OPI 1 (1941); RGKent, JAOS 66.209 (1946).

CMb = Cyrus, Murghab B: A number of

small fragments of a trilingual inscription, OP at least 6 lines, Elam. and Akk. 4 lines each, which stood above the royal figure in the doorways of the palace. Hz. ApI 2-4 No. 3 and Plate 2 (1938); Sen, OPI 239 (1941); RGKent, JAOS 66.209 (1946).

CMc = Cyrus, Murghab c: A trilingual inscription, each version in one line, on the folds of the king's garments in three doorways of the palace; the OP text now entirely destroyed. Hz. AMI 1.14-6 (1929); ApI 2 No. 2 and Plate 1 fig. 2 (1938); RGKent, JAOS 66.209 (1946).

DB = Darius, Behistan: The inscription of Behistan, trilingual, is inscribed on the face of a gorge in the cliff rising on the left-hand side of the main caravan route from Baghdad to Teheran, about 65 miles before reaching Hamadan. Here, at the height of about 225 feet (obliquely 322 feet) above the road, the last 100 feet being steep and difficult rock-climbing—the final portion being in part cut smooth by Darius's orders, to prevent access by vandals—sculptures and accompanying inscriptions are engraved in the natural rock. The panel of the sculptures contains at the left the standing figure of Darius, with two attendants behind him; his right foot is firmly planted on the prostrate figure of Gaumata. Beyond Gaumata stand in line the captive rebels, 9 in number, with hands tied behind their backs and a rope around their necks linking them together. Above, there is a figure of the God Ahuramazda. The inscriptions in OP, Elam., and Akk. occupy the free parts of the panel and its lower margin, as well as the spaces below, to right, to left, and diagonally below to the left. These have suffered both from erosion by water torrents and by limestone incrustations deposited by water in and over the engraved characters. Further damage was suffered