stem-vowel -o-, gave $-\bar{o}m$, as in Gk. $\lambda b \kappa \omega r$, but in Aryan was remodeled after the gen. pl. of -n-stems. Inst. pl. ending -ais (-a- indeterminate for a e o!), contracting with stem-vowel -o-, gave $-\bar{o}is$, seen with shortening in Greek $\lambda b \kappa \omega s$, and with retained length in Skt. and Av.; but the pronominal -oibhis (stem-vowel -o- + pronominal pl. -i + inst. pl. -bhis), remodeling of masc. dat.-abl. -oibhios (Skt. $t \hat{e}bhyas$, demonstrative pronoun) after fem. inst. pl. - $\bar{a}bhis$ (Skt. $t \hat{a}bhis$), is

seen in OP. Loc. pl. ending -su, added to stemvowel -o- + pron. pl. -i, is found in Aryan and in Slavic; but -oisu was remodeled to -oisi in Gk. (dat. pl. λύκοισι) after the loc. sg. ending -i.

III. The forms of the dual number: see §189.

§170. The Case-Endings of -o- Stems in Aryan. A comparative table of the endings in pIE, pAr., Skt., Av., OP is here given, including the cases represented in the extant OP words; except that dual forms are in §189.

		pIE	pAr.	Skt.	Av.	OP
Sg.	Nom.	-08	$-ah^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	$-a\dot{h}$	-ō	$-a^h$
	Acc.	-om	-am	-am	- əm	-am
	Inst.	- $ar{e}$, - $ar{o}$	$-ar{a}$	$-ena^2$	- $ar{a}$ - a^{3} .	- $ar{a}$
	Abl.	- $ar{e}d$, - $ar{o}d$	- $ ilde{a}d$	$-ar{a}t^1$	$-ar{a} ar{t}$	- $ar{a}^t$
	Gen.	-osio	-asya	-asya	- $ahyar{a}$ - $ahe^{f 3}$	- $ahyar{a}$
	Loc.	-ei, -oi	-ai	-e	$\int -\bar{o}i$ -, e^3	-aiy \
					$-ay-a^3$	-ay-ā∫
	Voc.	-e	-a	-a	$-ar{a}$ $-a^3$	- $ar{a}$
Pl.	Nom.	- 0 8	$\int \! -ar a \dot h^1$	$-ar{a}h$	- $ar{a}$ - a^{3}	$-ar{a}^{h}$)
			∖-āsaḥ⁴	-āsaḥ	$-\mathring{ar{a}} n h ar{o}$	-āhaʰ∫
	Acc.	-ons	$-ar{a}n^{5}$	$-ar{a}n$	- <i>q</i> s	- $ar{a}^{h}$
	Inst.	-āis	- $ar{a}ireve{s}$	- $ar{a}i$ ş	-āiš	- $aibi$ š 6
	Gen.	- ō m	$-ar{a}nar{a}m^7$	-ānām	$-\bar{a}nqm$	$-\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$
	Loc.	-oisu	- $ai\S u$	- e ş u	- $aar{e} \S u$	- ai š uv - $ar{a}$
Neuter						
Sg .	NomAcc.	-o-m	-am	-am	-∂ m	-am
Pl.	NomAcc.	- $ar{a}$	- $ ilde{a}$	- $ar{a}^8$	- $ar{a}$ - a^{3}	- $ar{a}$

¹ And other sandhi-products. ² With different suffix. ² Short-vowel final in LAv.; long vowels or diphthong in GAv. ⁴ Double ending, with added -as from nom. pl. of consonantal stems. ⁵ With analogical length; and -s re-

tained in some sandhi combinations. ⁶ From -oibhis, cf. dat.-abl. pl. Skt. -ebhyah, Av. -aēibyō from *-oibhios.
⁷ By influence of -n- stems. ⁸ Ved. -ā, but classical Skt. -āni after -n- stems.

§171. Case-Forms of -o- Stems in OP:

Nom. Sg. martiya, xšāyabiya, kāra, baga, drauga, hamiçiya, anušiya; man's name, Kabūjiya; place names and ethnics Pārsa, Māda, Sugda Suguda, Saka, Sparda, Mudrāya, Ūja Ūvja, Yauna, Parbava, Armina, Arminiya, Asagarla, Gadāra, Mārgava, Ūvjiya.

Acc. Sg. martiyam, xšāyaθiyam, kāram, hamiçiyam; Kabūjiyam; Pārsam, Mādam, Sugdam, Mudrāyam, Ūvjam, Arminam, Asagartam, ufraštam.

Inst. Sg. kārā, Pārsā, Aurā, probably karšā; nt. artā, dātā, ariyā; masc. or nt. vašnā, pisā. Abl. Sg. draugā; Kabujiyā, Pārsā, Sugudā, Spardā, Mudrāyā, Kūšā, Yaunā, Gadārā, Karmānā; nt. dušiyārā, vispā, gastā.

Gen. Sg. martiyahyā, xšāyaθiyahyā, kārahyā, Pārsahyā, visahyā, probably māhyā; nt. uškahyā, uvaipašiyahyā, jīvahyā, [ha]kartahyā.

Loc. Sg. Pārsaiy, Mādaiy, Mudrāyaiy, Ūjaiy Ūvjaiy, Parθavaiy, Arminiyaiy, Asagartaiy, Hagmatānaiy; with added -ā, duvarayā, dastayā, spāθmaidayā, probably karšayā, [da]rtanayā; nt. uzmayā.

Voc. Sg. martiyā, marīkā.

Nom. Pl. martiyā, xšāyaθiyā, hamiçiyā, anušiyā, takabarā, tigraxaudā, haumavargā, paruvā, Mādā, Sakā, Mudrāyā, Yaunā, Ūvjiyā; with double ending, aniyāha bagāha.

Acc. Pl. martiyā, xšāyaθiyā, hamiçiyā, Sakā, Ūvjiyā, ufraštā.

Inst. Pl. asabāraibiš, martiyaibiš, hamiçiyaibiš, bagaibiš, viθaibiš, kamnaibiš; Mādaibiš, Sakaibiš, Parθavaibiš, Mārgavaibiš, Ūvjiyaibiš; nt. ψnaraibiš.

Gen. Pl. martiyānām, xšāyaθiyānām, bagānām. Loc. Pl. Mādaišuv-ā.

Nt. Nom. Sg. xšaçam, dušiyāram, ardatam, daraniyam, aruvastam, dātam, θakatam, kartam, visam, kamnam; acc. xšaçam, stānam, daraniyam, aruvastam, kartam, visam, uvāipašiyam, uvaspam, probably cašam.

Nt. Nom. Pl. ūvnarā, θakatā, [d]ātā; acc. āyadanā, [uvaspā], uraθā.

✓ §172. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE -o- DECLEN-SION IN OP.

Nom. Sg.: OP -ā, regular from pAr. -ah, pIE -os; the failure to write the final vowel long shows a final minimal consonant: -ah.

Acc. Sg.: OP -am, regular from pAr. -am, pIE -om.

Inst. Sg.: OP $-\bar{a}$, regular from pAr. $-\bar{a}$, pIE $-\bar{e}$ or $-\bar{o}$.

Abl. Sg.: OP $-\bar{a}$ for $-\bar{a}^t$, regular from pAr. $-\bar{a}t$, pIE $-\bar{e}d$ or $-\bar{o}d$ (or -t).

Gen. Sg.: OP -ahyā, regular for pAr. -asya, pIE -osio; OP -ā for -ā shows that no minimal consonant followed; for a few writings -ahyā, see §36.IV. For māhyā from *māhahyā, see §131.

Loc. Sg.: OP -aiy in place-names, regular from pAr. -ai, pIE -ei or -oi; OP -ayā in common nouns, being -ai + postposition -ā (similar forms are found in Avestan.)¹

Voc. Sg.: OP -ā, regular from pAr. -ă, pIE -e; with OP -ā because there is no final minimal consonant.

Nom. Pl.: OP -ā for -ā^h, regular from pAr. āh, pIE -ōs; also OP -āha for -āha^h in aniyāha bagāha 'other gods', cf. §10 and the similar formations Av. ahurāvhō 'Ahuras', Skt. devāsah 'gods'.

Acc. Pl.: OP $-\bar{a}$ for $-\bar{a}^h$, either regularly from pAr. $-\bar{a}ns$ with reduced n, in some sandhi-positions; or the nom. pl. as acc., by analogy (§168). Inst. Pl.: OP $-aibi\check{s}$, regular for pAr. $-aibhi\check{s}$.

Gen. Pl.: OP -ānām, regular for pAr. -ānām, with

-nām from -n- stems §187); for writing -ănām, see §52.III.

Loc. Pl.: OP -aišwā, regular from pAr. -aišw, pIE -oisu, + postposition -ā; -šu-ā should become -švā, but the OP writing does not distinguish between this value and -šwā, in which the -u-is retained as a vowel by the influence of the original form and a glide consonant written between it and the following vowel.

Nt. Nom.-Acc. Sg.: OP -am, regular from pAr. -am, pIE -om.

Nt. Nom.-Acc. Pl.: OP $-\bar{a}$, regular from pAr. $-\bar{a}$, pIE $-\bar{a}$.

dātā DB 1.23, XPh 49, 52, when used with pari-ay- 'respect', is probably inst. rather than abl., because of the lack of prep. hacā; note that the text of DSe 37-9, as now restored, gives no support for the abl. in the other passages, despite my remarks JAOS 54.46, Lg. 13.303, JAOS 58.117. On inst. sg. karšā, loc. sg. karšayā, nt. acc. cašam, acc. pl. ufraštā-diy, see Lex. s.vv.

Artaxšaça- has the regular gen. -çahyā,² but nom. -çā and acc. -çām have been assimilated to $X \pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}$ - $\pm s\bar{a}m$ ($\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}$), and gen. Artax $\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$ ($\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$) may have been assimilated to the late gen. $\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$ ($\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$) ($\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$) ($\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$) unless there is mere addition of a character, $\pm say\bar{a}r \pm s\bar{a}n$).

§173. The Case-Endings of $-\bar{a}$ - Stems in PIE: only those relevant to extant OP forms will be discussed.

I. In the singular, nom. $-\bar{a}$ is the strong grade of the stem-vowel, without special case-suffix. Acc. $-\bar{a}m$ is stem-vowel $-\bar{a}$ + case-suffix -m. From the evidence of non-Aryan languages, we should expect pIE to have inst. $-\bar{a}$ (from $-\bar{a}$ + -a), abl.-gen. $-\bar{a}s$ (from $-\bar{a}$ + +es), loc. $-\bar{a}i$ (from $-\bar{a}$ + -i); but in Aryan we find dissyllabic terminations, inst. $-\bar{b}y\bar{a}$, abl.-gen. $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$, loc. $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Either a pre-IE variant stem in $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$ here comes to light, though it does not appear outside these singular cases (and in the dative, lost in OP), or these cases are built upon a stem extracted from the loc. sg. $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ (so in Iranian, but extended by -m in Skt.): for the loc. sg. should have been stem-vowel $-\bar{a}s$ + ending -i, to which postposition $-\bar{a}s$ was added, making $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$.

¹The same phenomenon in Osc.-Umb.: *en 'in' is attached to the loc. ending as an integral part of the case-form, in Osc. húrtín 'in horto' from *-ei-en, and in Umb. arven 'in arvo' from *-āi-en.

² Unless this form also is a neologism (§57).

^{§173.}¹ Although $\bar{a}+i$ regularly contracted to αi (§131), the loc. of $-\bar{a}$ - stems seems to have had $-\bar{a}i$ by the influence of $-\bar{a}$ - in other cases; on Skt. inst. ending $-\check{a}y\bar{a}$, see Thumb-Hirt, Handbuch d. Skt. §259, §351.

50?

To the extracted stem $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ - it is simple to form inst. $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, gen.-abl. $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ s.

II. The plural has pIE nom. $-\bar{a}s$ from $-\bar{a}-+$ -es; acc. $-\bar{a}s$ from $-\bar{a}-+$ -ns, with pIE loss of n between long vowel and final s; gen. $-\bar{o}m$ from $-\bar{a}-+$ - $\bar{o}m$, replaced in pAr. by $-\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ after -n- stems; loc. $-\bar{a}su$ from $-\bar{a}-+$ -su.

\$174. The Case-Endings of $-\bar{a}$ - Stems in Aryan. The comparative table includes only cases represented in extant OP forms.

Sg.	pIE	pAr.	Skt.	Av.	0P
Nom.	$-ar{a}$	- $ar{a}$	- $ ilde{a}$	- $ar{a}$ - a^1	- $ar{a}$
Acc.	$-\bar{a}m$	$-\bar{a}m$	$-\bar{a}m$	-am	$-ar{a}m$
Inst.		- $\check{a}y\check{a}^2$	- $ayar{a}$	- $ay\check{a}^{_1}$	- $\bar{a}yar{a}$
Abl.		-āyās	-āyās	$-ay\bar{a}t^3$	$-\bar{a}ya^{h}$
Gen.		-āyās	-āyās	-ayå	- $\bar{a}yar{a}^{b}$
Loc.	- $ar{a}i$	-āyā	-āyām	-aya	-āyā
Pl.					
Nom.	- $ar{a}s$	- $ ilde{a}s$	-ās	- $\dot{ar{a}}$	- $ ilde{a}^h$
Acc.	- $ ilde{a}$ 8	ās	ās	$-\mathring{ar{ar{a}}}$	-āħ
Gen.	-ōm	-ānām	-ānām	-anqm	-ãnām
Loc.	- $\tilde{a}su$	- $\bar{a}su$	-ãsu	$-\bar{a}hu$	

 $-\bar{a}hva^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ $-\bar{a}^huv\bar{a}$

\$175. Case-Forms of -ā- Stems in OP:

Nom. Sg. taumā 'family', hainā, framānā, yauviyā, didā, θikā; Aθurā; adjectives kartā, gastā, hamiçiyā.

Acc. Sg. taumām, yauviyām, didām, θikam;
Paišiyāwādām, Sakām; adjective aθagainām.
Inst. Sg. framānāyā, aruvāyā, perhaps ha[natāyā].
Abl. Sg. Paišiyāwādāyā, haināyā, taumāyā, Yadāyā.

Gen. Sg. taumāyā.

Loc. Sg. Arbairāyā, Αθυτάyā, ζūšāyā; perhaps avastāyā, stūnāya (see §176); adj. vazrakāyā (unless gen. in some passages).

Nom. Pl. stūnā, hamiçiyā, kartā.

Acc Pl. [stūnā] (restored only).

Gen. Pl. paruzanānām, vispazanānām.

Loc. Pl. $mašk\bar{a}^huv\bar{a}$, $aniy\bar{a}^huv\bar{a}$.

§176. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE -ā- DECLEN-SION IN OP. Reference to the table of endings in §174 will show that OP faithfully represents the endings as they were in pAr., with a few slight modifications. The failure to write the minimal final consonants brings to a uniform writing $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ the inst., abl., gen., loc. cases of the singular. It is impossible to determine whether OP shared the LAv. split of the Aryan abl.-gen. $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$ into gen. *- $\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$, abl. * $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ in imitation of the -o- stem abl. in $-\bar{a}t$; in the absence of evidence we assume that OP abl. and gen. were identical, with $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ from older $-\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$. The OP loc. shared the general Aryan addition of $-\bar{a}$, but not the further Skt. addition of -m. The plural forms of OP also are quite regular, the gen. showing the Aryan remodeling after -n- stems, and the loc. the addition of $-\bar{a}$ which occurs also in a few Avestan forms. There is the same ambiguity as to the phonetic value of $-\bar{a}^huv\bar{a}$ in this declension that there is in the -o- stems (§172).

The fact that the pAr. loc. sg. of $-\bar{a}$ - stems has the added $-\bar{a}$ which passes to the loc. sg. of common nouns and adjectives of other stem-classes, and to the loc. pl., in OP, and to a smaller extent in Avestan, makes it likely that the $-\bar{a}$ - stems are the starting point for this remodeling of the ending.

The puzzling form stūnāya occurs only in the phrase apadānam stūnāya abagainam 'palace stony . . . column'; as it occurs only in texts of Darius II and later, it may be a miswriting with omission of the final a, and stand for stūnāyā, inst. or loc. of specification (cf. the use of inst. karšā and loc. karšayā with a numeral, Lg. 19.227-9): 'palace stony as to column(s)', stūnāya being singular in form but generic in meaning, and therefore to be taken as a collective.'

§177. THE CASE-ENDINGS OF -i- AND -i- STEMS IN PIE AND IN ARYAN: only those relevant to extant OP forms will be discussed.

I. The *i*-stems had pIE nom. sg. -i-s, acc. -i-m, gen. -ei-s or -oi-s (with strong grade of the stem-vowel); these are represented by Skt. agnts agntm agnés 'fire'. The loc. had the long diphthong, without case-suffix, -ēi; this became -ē in pIE, since long diphthongs in pIE regularly lost the semivowel when they were final: Skt. (Ved.) has agná.

II. The -ī- stems had pIE nom. sg. -ī, acc. -ī-m,

 $^{^1}$ Avestan forms with short final -a belong to the LAv.; note that the indication of length in interior syllables of Avestan words is not reliable. 2 Cf. §173n. 3 Remodeling of the gen. ending in Late Avestan after the abl. $-\bar{a}t$ of -o- stems.

¹ Hinz, ZDMG 95.250, takes as miswritten for stūnāyam, adj. modifying apadānam: 'Säulenhalle'.

^{§177.} I Skt. aguáu is a new formation by influence of the -u-stem loc. śatrā śatrāu 'enemy', where both forms were still used.

abl.-gen. $-(i)\underline{i}\bar{a}s$ or $-(i)\underline{i}\bar{a}s$, inst. $-(i)\underline{i}\bar{a}s$ loc. $-(i)\underline{i}\bar{a}s$ or $-(i)\underline{i}\bar{a}s$ (from the final long diphthong, as in -stems). The nom. pl. was pIE $-\bar{\imath}-es$, giving $-i\underline{\imath}es$. These are represented by Skt. $dev\hat{\imath}$ $devy\hat{\imath}$ $devy\hat{\imath}$ $devy\hat{\imath}$ $devy\hat{\imath}$ devy $devy\hat{\imath}$ (with -m attached to the loc., as in $-\bar{a}$ - stems), pl. devyds = deviyas.

III. The -ī-stems were exclusively feminine, but the -ī-stems included both masculines and feminines; both in Skt. and in Av. the fem. -ī-stems optionally or regularly assumed the endings of -ī-stems in the inst., dat., abl.-gen., loc. singular. Occasionally, also, the fem. -ī-stems acquired a nom. sg. -s from the -ĭ-stems in Skt. and Av., giving nom. -īš.

§178. Case-Forms of -i- and -i- Stems in OP: I. Masc. -i- stems:

Nom. Sg.: skauθiš, pastiš, θarmiš, yāumainiš ayāu-(ma)iniš; the personal names Fravartiš, Dādaršiš, Cišpiš; the ethnic Pātišuvariš; perhaps the place-names Arakadriš, Kāpišakāniš, Viš-[pa|uz[ā]tiš.

Acc. Sg. skauθim, ušabārim, dwarθim, Fravartim, Dādaršim.

Gen. Sg. skauθaiš, Fravartaiš, Cišpaiš, Θāigarcaiš, Bāgayādaiš; also Cišpāiš, Cicixrāiš.

II. Fem. 4- stems (some possibly -ī- stems): Nom. Sg.: aršt[i]š, šiyātiš, ištiš, probably dipi[š]. Acc. Sg.: šiyātim, bājim, dipim; paθim (to a heteroclite stem).

Inst. Sg.: $[nip]i\check{s}[tiy\tilde{a}]$. Loc. Sg.: $d[i]p[iy]\tilde{a}$.

III. Fem. -ī- stems:

Nom. Sg.: Uvārazmīy Uvārazmiš, Baxtriš; Harauvatiš (Skt. sárasvatī), Sika[ya]watiš; āpiš (in āpišim = āpiš-šim), BU 'earth' (ideogram only).

Acc. Sg.: Harawatim, būmim, probably yau[datim].

Abl. Sg.: Harawatiyā, Bāxtriyā, Uvārazmiyā. Loc. Sg.: Harawatiyā, Bāxtriyā, āpi[y]ā, būmiyā. Nom. Pl.: aθagainiya.

IV: Not included here:

Inst. Pl.: abiš, arašaniš: see Lex. s.vv.

\$179. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE -\(\tilde{\tau}\)- AND -\(\tilde{\tau}\)DECLENSIONS IN OP.\(^1\)

I. The -t- stems and the -t- stems fell together in OP into one declensional paradigm, having nom. sg. -i\(\delta\), acc. -im, gen. -ai\(\delta\), abl. -iy\(\alpha\) (= Skt. -y\(\alpha\)s), loc. -iy\(\alpha\) (= Skt. -y\(\alpha\)s), loc. -iy\(\alpha\) (= Skt. -y\(\alpha\)s), nom. pl. -iy\(\alpha\). The only survival of separate declensional forms is nom. \(Uv\)\(\delta\)razmi\(\delta\), with original -t, replaced in later inscriptions by \(Uv\)\(\delta\)razmi\(\delta\). There is the possibility that when nom. -t of -t- stems took the ending -s, the length of the vowel remained to distinguish the -t- stems from the -t- stems; and similarly the long vowel in acc. -tm may have remained. The OP system of writing leaves this ambiguous. But it is more likely that the new ending -t\(\delta\) and the old ending -tm became -t\(\delta\) and -tm in imitation of the corresponding forms of \(\delta\)- stems.

II. It is true that in Arvan the abl. sg. and the gen, sg. of these stems have the same ending (so in all stems except -o- stems!), and here we find gen. -aiš, abl. -iyā. But all the OP genitives are of masculine words, and all the locatives are of feminines; and as we noted in §177.III, feminine -ĭ- stems were likely to assume -ī- stem endings in certain oblique cases of the singular. It is possible then that the feminines may in OP have diverged in some case-forms from the pattern of the masculine -\(\tilde{t}\)- stems, without in reality preserving a separate declensional type. Note that būmiyā seems to be loc. only; if the form occurred in a passage where the gen. were certainly required, this differentiation would seem to be established. Yet in -ŭ- stems we find both endings in masc. ablatives.

III. It is not always possible to determine whether the feminine common nouns are -ž- stems or -\(\bar{z}\)- stems; etymological comparison is necessary. The short vowel seems assured in ar\(\bar{s}ti\)-, Skt. rs\(ti\)- span'r, pa\(\theta im\), Skt. stem path'i- in some case-forms; but it is only a probability in \(\bar{s}iyati\)-, i\(\bar{s}ti\)-, b\(\bar{a}ji\)-, dipi-. The long vowel seems assured in b\(\bar{u}mi\)- by Skt. Ved. nom. b\(\hat{u}m\)\(\bar{u}\)\(\text{(once only; against 12 occurrences of the new formation b\(\hat{u}mi\)\(\bar{s}\)), and is certain in nom. pl. a\(\theta againiya\), like Skt. p\(\bar{a}pyd\)\(\bar{s}\) to sg. fem. p\(\bar{a}p\)\(\bar{a}\) which is one fem. formation to p\(\bar{a}p\)\(\bar{a}\) s'evil'.

IV. The gen. $-\bar{a}i\check{s}$ in $Ci\check{s}p\bar{a}i\check{s}$ and $Cicixr\check{a}i\check{s}$ is only graphic for $-ai\check{s}$ in words where the nom. and the gen. would be written alike: $c^ai\check{s}^ap^ai\check{s}^a=$ nom.

² Variation between -i_k- and -_k- by Sievers' Law (cf. Edgerton, Lg. 10.235-65); differentiation between pIE ē and pIE ā cannot be made because of lack of adequate non-Aryan cognates. ³ pAr. ā, cf. preceding note; probably pAr. -ā extended from -δ- stem instrumentals.

¹ Debrunner, IF 52.131-6; Kent, Lg. 19.221-4.

Cišpiš or gen. Cišpaiš; similarly $-r^aiš^a = -riš$ or -raiš.

V. In forms ending in $-iy\bar{a}$, the -i is probably syllabic and not merely graphic; for *Harahvatyā would become *Harahvasiyā and not Harahvatyā, and *dipyā would become *difiyā and not dipiyā.² In the others the long preceding syllable would cause Sievers' Law to operate, changing $-y\bar{a}$ to $-iy\bar{a}$.

§180. THE CASE-ENDINGS OF -ŭ- STEMS IN PIE AND IN ARYAN: again, only those relevant to extant OP forms will be discussed.

The -ŭ- stems had pIE nom. sg. -u-s, acc. -u-m, gen. -eu-s or -ou-s (with strong grade of the stemvowel), seen in Skt. śátrus śátrum śátros 'enemy', Av. vaphuš vohūm vaphāuš 'good'. The inst. sg. was the stem in $-\ddot{u}$ + pAr. ending $-\ddot{a}$; the $-\ddot{u}$ remained vocalic if after a single consonant preceded by two consonants or by a single consonant after a long vowel or a diphthong, but became consonantal after a single consonant preceded by a short vowel. The loc. sg. ended in the strong grade or the lengthened grade of the stem vowel, -eu or $-\bar{e}u$, to which the postposition $-\bar{a}$ was often added in Iranian: Skt. śátrāu, Av. vaphāu, also aphava to aphuš 'existence'. The nom.-acc. sg. nt. was the bare stem, and ended in -u: Skt. mádhu 'honey', GAv. vohū 'good' (all final vowels are long in GAv.). The gen. pl. was pIE $-(u)u\tilde{o}m$, but became $-\bar{u}$ - $n\bar{a}m$ in pAr. in imitation of the -n- stems; Av. has both endings in vaphvam and vohunam.

§181. Case-Forms of -ŭ- Stems in OP:

Nom. Sg. Masc.: maguš, piruš, sikabruš, adj. uvāmaršiyuš; names of kings, Dārayava^huš, Kūruš; place-names, Abirāduš, Kud^uruš, Oataguš, Bābiruš, Mā[ru]š, Marguš, Hiⁿduš.

Acc. Sg. Mase.: magum, xraθum, gāθum; Dārayavaʰum; Bābirum, Margum; visadahyum; perhaps [agurum].

Inst. Sg.: Ufrātuvā.

Gen. Sg. Masc.: Kūrauš; Dārayavahauš, with neologisms Dārayavaušahyā Dārayavašahyā.

Abl. Sg. Masc.: Bābirauš Bābirauv, Hidauv, aāθavā.

Loc. Sg. Masc.: Bābirauv, Margauv, gāθavā.

Gen. Pl. Masc.: parūnām parūvnām. Fem.; parūnām.

Nt. Nom. Sg.: paruv, dāruv.

Nt. Acc. Sg.: $[d\tilde{a}r]uv$.

For forms of $tan\bar{u}$ š, $dahy\bar{a}u$ š, uxšnauš, $n\bar{a}u$ š, see §183.

√§182. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE -ŭ- DECLENSION IN OP. The development of the endings from pIE and pAr. are quite perspicuous for the most part, and call for but few remarks.

I. The neologisms $D\bar{a}rayavau\bar{s}ahy\bar{a}$ and $D\bar{a}rayava\bar{s}ahy\bar{a}$ are mere attempts to build up genitives on the nom. as a stem, at a time when the endings had worn down and were not distinguished in speech; §57.

II. The loc. in -auv represents the short diphthong (unlike the long diphthong in Skt.), without case-suffix; and that in -av \bar{a} is the regular phonetic development of -au + - \bar{a} .

II. The abl. *Bābirauš* is the gen., for in Aryan the same form functioned as gen. and as abl., except in the -ŏ- stems; the other ablatives are locative forms (cf. the fusion of loc. and abl. forms and functions in Latin).

IV. The gen. pl. has the regular Aryan $-n\bar{a}m$ from -n- stems; it is to be observed that the masc. form of the adjective functions also as fem.

V. The nom.-acc. nt. sg. inherits the old ending; OP nom. parw is the exact equivalent of Av. pouru, Skt. puru, Gk. $\pi o \lambda v$.

\$183. The $-\bar{u}$ - Stems and u-Diphthong Stems in OP.

I. The only -ū- stem in OP is tanū- 'body, self', which is shown by Skt. and Av. tanū- to have the long vowel; its forms in OP are nom. tanūš, acc. tanūm, unless indeed it has -ŭš -ŭm by assimilation to the -ū- stems.

II. OP fem. dahyau- 'land, province' has in most case-forms the diphthong and not the zero-grade -u-, a peculiarity which in general it shares with the Avestan cognate. The diphthong is lengthened as a mark of the nom. sg.: OP dahyāuš (but Av. daiśhuš). This length is extended to the acc. sg. OP dahyāvam and dahyāum (of which the prior is phonetically correct¹ and the second is an-

² The tendency in OP is to level toward changed forms of the stem-consonant, not back to the original sound; cf. Lex.s.vv. gāθu- xraθu-, arašan-.

¹ In pIE, diphthong before nasal in the same syllable automatically became vowel + consonantal semivowel, after which the nasal became vocalic: thus -ēum > -ēum, whence OP -āvam. But nom. -ēus remained and induced

alogical to the nom.; Av. dainhaom graphic for -åvam); but as second element of a compound we find -dahyum in visadahyum (or -dahyaum, as $-y^a u m^a$ is ambiguous), and in A³Pa 26 we have DHyauma, which may be either DHyaum or DHyum (Av. dahyūm is probably for -yūm). OP loc. dahyawā is dahyaw like Bābiraw, with added $-\bar{a}$; but the diphthong is here kept before the added vowel (unlike gāθavā). Nom. pl. dahyāva has the long diphthong extended from the nom. sg., and represents pAr. -āvas (so also Av. $dai\hat{p}h\bar{a}v\bar{o}$); this form was extended to serve as acc. pl. in OP (so also in Av.). OP gen. pl. dahyūnām and dahyūvnām is a regular gen. pl. of -u- stems in Aryan (Av. dahyunam). OP loc. pl. dahyušuvā is the -u- stem loc. pl. with ending -su, + the postposition -ā; whether phonetically -ušuvā or $-u šv\bar{a}$ depends on whether the u of -su was consonantized before the added vowel, or retained by analogy.3

III. Nom. sg. u[x šna]uš 'well satisfied' is formed with the case-suffix s, but no lengthening.

IV. Nom. pl. [$n\bar{a}va$] corresponds to Skt. $n\bar{a}v$ -as, Gk. $\nu\tilde{\eta}$ - ϵs , the regular nom. pl. of the diphthongal stem * $n\bar{a}u$ - (pIE * $n\bar{a}u$ - ϵs).

§184. THE CASE-ENDINGS OF CONSONANT-STEMS IN PIE AND IN ARYAN. Again only part of the cases have extant forms in OP.

Nom. Sg. Masc. and Fem.: formed by adding -s, or by lengthening the last vowel of the stem, rarely by both together. After a lengthened vowel a final liquid or nasal was lost in pIE.¹

Acc. Sg. Masc. and Fem.: formed by adding -m, which here automatically became -m, since it stood after another consonant.

Nom.-Acc. Sg. Nt.: the bare stem, without suffix. Gen. Sg.: pIE -es and -os, 2 Aryan -as.

Loc. Sg.: the bare stem, in the strong grade if hav-

OP acc. -āum (which indeed might have come down from pIE in the position before an initial vowel). An alternative development of a long diphthong before final m in pIE was the loss of the semivowel of the diphthong; there are no examples in OP. ² Cf. pIE nom. *nāus, acc. *nāum 'ship!: Skt. nāus, nāvam; Gk. Hom. νηῦς νῆα, Att. ναῦς ναῦν. ³ For further speculations on the declension of dahyāuš, see Bv. Gr. §287.

§184.¹ The liquid or nasal was restored in the nom. in some IE branches, by the influence of the stem in the oblique cases: thus Skt. $pit\hat{a}$ 'father', but Gk. $\pi a \tau \eta \rho$, Lt. pater; Skt. $t\hat{a}k\bar{s}\hat{a}$ 'carpenter', but Gk. $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$. ²-es as in Lt. ped-is, -os as in Gk. $\pi o \delta$ -ós.

ing ablaut variation; or the same + case-ending

Inst. Sg.: formed by adding (Aryan) $-\bar{a}$, from pIE $-\bar{e}$ or $-\bar{o}$.

Inst. Pl.: formed by adding -bhis, Aryan -bhis.

It is to be noted that in Aryan, when a word ended in two or more consonants, the final consonant or consonants fell off until only one was left; thus pIE nom. *nepōt-s 'grandson', Lt. nepōs, became pAr. *napāt, Skt. nápāt.

✓ §185. The -8- Stems in OP: of these there are several varieties.

I. The neuter formation with suffix -os in nom.acc. sg., -es- in other forms: type Lt. gen-us gener-is, Gk. γέν-ος γέν-ε-ος, Skt. ján-as ján-as-as. OP has nom. rautah 'river', Skt. srótas; nom.-acc. raucah 'day', Av. raocō 'light', Skt. rócas 'light'; acc. drayah 'sea' (and draiyah, §48), Av. zrayō, Skt. jráyas; acc. manaš-cā (§105), Av. manō, Skt. mánas; acc. zūrah 'evil', Av. zūrō; acc. miθah 'evil'. Inst. manahā, Skt. mánasā. Loc. drayahy-ā (with added $-\bar{a}$; also written drayahiyā, but whether the -i- is syllabic cannot be determined), Skt. jráyasi, cf. Av. manahi-čā. Inst. pl. raucabiš, probably for *raucabiš, cf. Av. manābīš, Skt. mánobhis: the -h from -s in certain sandhi positions here transferred to the medial position before the consonant of the case-suffix, and then voiced before the voiced consonant and lost with the same products as when final in the respective languages.

II. When a nt. -es-/-os- stem forms the second element of a compound, whether adjectival or a man's name, the nom. sg. has $-\bar{e}s$, the other cases have -es-: Gk. $\delta v \sigma \mu e \nu \eta_s$, $\Delta v \gamma \acute{e}\nu \eta_s$ to $\mu \acute{e}\nu o_s$, $\gamma \acute{e}\nu o_s$. This $-\bar{e}s$ became Ar. $-\bar{a}s$ $-\bar{a}h$, OP $-\bar{a}^h$: $Vi^n da$ -farn \bar{a}^h , cf. Av. $x^v a r n \bar{o}$ 'royal splendor', pIE *suelnos; Aspacan \bar{a}^h , cf. Skt. cánas 'delight'.

III. Apparently there was also a nt. suffix -2s-, not varying within the paradigm nor in the corresponding mase. formation: type Skt. kravis 'raw flesh', Gk. κρέαs. OP nom.-acc. hadiš from *sed-2s, cf. Gk. ἔδος from *sed-0s; acc. abicariš 'pasture-land', see Lex. s.v. In names, Haxā-maniš, Ardumaniš, perhaps I-maniš; late gen. Haxāmaniš-ahyā adds the gen. ending of -o- stems to the nom. as stem.¹

¹ If we could accept *Haxāmanišahyā* at face-value, we could be quite sure that these three names are -s- stems and not -i- stems with nom. -š, as some have supposed;

IV. The remaining -s- stems of OP are $n\bar{a}h$ 'nose', $tauv\bar{v}yah$ - 'stronger', $A^huramazd\bar{a}h$ - 'Ahuramazda', and possibly $m\bar{a}h$ - 'month'.

OP acc. $n\bar{a}h$ -am, Skt. $n\hat{a}sam$, has the ending -m generalized in its antevocalic value, assisted by the -am of -o- stems. OP nom. sg. masc. $taw\bar{v}y\bar{u}^b$ has the comparative suffix in the long-vowel form,

pIE -iōs, pAr. -iōs; Av. spanyā 'holier' has the same suffix and case-formation, while Skt. sthávī-yān 'stronger' stands for -yāns, with an intrusive -n-2 and loss of the final consonant of the cluster,

but a stem-formation closer to that of OP $taw\bar{v}y\bar{a}$ (on -aw-, §48). OP $m\bar{a}hy\bar{a}$ probably does not belong here as loc. * $m\bar{a}hi$ - + $-\bar{a}$, cf. Skt. loc. $m\bar{a}si$, but is rather gen. sg. * $m\bar{a}hahy\bar{a}$ to $m\bar{a}ha$ -, Skt.

måsa-, with reduction of -āhah- (§131).

OP nom. Auramazdāh ends in pIE *-dhēs, an -s- formation to a long-vowel root which in this formation shows no ablaut variation (cf. Lt. flōs flōr-is and other monosyllables); a nom. -s added to -dhēs-, pAr. -dhūs-, produces no change, since the -ss is shortened automatically to -s. Acc. Auramazdām instead of *-dāham shows that the form was transferred to the -ā- stems.³ Gen. Auramazdāhah is regular for the stem in -dāh-, as is also the unique Aurahya Mazdāhah declined in both parts;⁴ gen. Auramazdāhāh has -āhāh by influence of gen. -āyāh of -ā- stems, since the nom. -dā, acc. -dām already agreed with the nom. -ā, acc. -ām of -ā- stems.⁵ Gen. Auramazdāhā is an error of writing which is to be classed with gen. pl. xšāyaði-

yănām 'of kings', for -ānām (§52.III).

but Haxāmanišahyā stands in the much miswritten Ariaramnes inscription, and may replace a gen. in *-manaiš as Dārayavauš-ahyā (in inscriptions of Artaxerxes I and II) replaces the old gen. Dārayavahauš. However, the derivative Haxamaniš-iya 'Achaemenian' seems to justify us in regarding the -8- as belonging to the stem. 2 This -n- may come from the perf. ptc. nom. Skt. vidvān, pIE - μos as in Gk. είδ(ε)ώς 'knowing'; and in the perf. ptc. of Skt. it seems to have come from the -nt- of the pres. ptc. 3 Cf. similar phenomena in the declension of Xšayāršan-, §187. Pisani, Riv. Stud. Or. 19.81-2, argues that Auramazdā is by origin a root-noun in -ā-, with analogical gen. to avoid identity of nom. and gen., but this is very improbable. 4 The divine name is always declined in both parts in the Avesta; in the Gāthās other words commonly intervene between its two parts, and in the Later Avesta mazdå ahurō is more frequent than ahurō mazdå. This rather indicates that -h was lost in OP after a, and remained only after a; §40.

§186. The -r- Stems in OP: these fall into two groups.

I. Agency nouns with suffixes pIE -tor- and -ter-, showing ablaut variation in the declension; the nom. sg. has the long vowel, which is commonly extended to some or all of the other case-forms: Lt. dator, gen. datōr-is (ō throughout); Gk. δώτωρ δώτορ-ος (ō only in nom. sg.), δοτήρ δοτῆρ-ος, δωτήρ δωτῆρος (ē throughout except invoc. sg. δῶτερ); Skt. dātā, acc. dātāram, dat. dātrē, loc. dātāri, etc. OP has nom. sg. jantā 'slayer', Av. janta, Skt. hantā; dauštā 'friend'. OP acc. framātāram 'lord', with extension of the long vowel of the nom., and the antevocalic value of the acc. ending (§67.II).

II. Words of relationship had suffix -ter-, nom. -tē or restored -tēr, other cases -ter- or -tr-. OP has nom. pitā 'father', Skt. pitā, Gk. πατήρ, Lt. pater; also in cpd. hama-pitā 'having the same father'. OP nom. mātā 'mother' in hamātā 'having the same mother', Skt. mātā, Gk. (Dor.) μᾶτηρ, Lt. māter. OP nom. brātā 'brother', Skt. bhrātā, Gk. φρᾶτηρ 'clan-brother', Lt. frāter.' OP gen. piçā^h, Gk. πατρόs, Lt. patris, from *potr-os or -es, unlike Skt. pitús from *potr-os OP the series il interest.

ν §187. The -n- Stems in OP: these also fall into several groups.

Those with suffixes (Aryan) -man- -van- -an-(pIE vowel -e- or -o-) had nom. in -mā -vā -ā: OP taumā 'power', stem tauman-; artāvā 'blessed', GAv. ašavā. LAv. ašava, Skt. rtávā, ef. GAv. acc. ašavanəm; asā 'stone', cf. LAv. asənga- in cpds., OP aθaⁿqa- 'stone': xšacapāvā 'kingdom-protecting, satrap', with stem -pāvan- as in Skt. (Ved.) tanū-pāvan- 'person-protecting'. Acc. with extension of the long vowel, in OP asmanam 'sky', cf. acc. Lith. akmeni 'stone' and Gk. aκμονα 'anvil' with -men- and -mon- respectively. It is uncertain whether acc. hazānam (for hizānam) is an -anstem with the long vowel, or an -ana-stem; at any rate it is an extension of the stem seen in Av. hizvā- (see Lex. s.v. hazāna-). Neuters with suffix -men- have nom.-acc. in the zero-grade -mn;¹ acc. OP nāmā, Skt. nāma, Lt. nōmen, from *nōmņ.

¹ OP hamapitā and hamātā do not distinguish, and cannot distinguish, between the two vocalisms seen in Gk. $\delta \mu \sigma \pi \tau \eta \rho$ and $\delta \mu \sigma \pi \tau \omega \rho$; but the $-\tilde{\sigma}r$ forms are those proper in original compounds.

^{\$187.1} OP cašama is not to be read cašma as a neut. -n-

The stem Xšayāršan-² has the regular nom. Xšayāršā, but the other cases are remodeled to the type of Auramazdā, acc. -dām, gen. -dāha (§185.IV): acc. Xšayāršām, gen. [Xšayār]šāha, with late genitives Xšayāršahyā (§57) and Xšayāršāhyā (ŲHc), with the medial -ā- of the other cases. On forms of Artaxšaça-, see §172.

Of the other forms, OP baršnā is inst. sg. to stem baršan-, cf. Av. inst. barsšna to barszan-, Skt. rūjñā to rūjan- 'king'. OP nāma is probably a suffixless loc. nāma". Inst. pl. taumaniš and arašaniš are analogical for -abiš, since the paradigm would have been nom. -anah, gen. -anām, inst. -abiš (from -n-bhis).3

OP nom. manauviš is probably for *manas-vī, nom. to -vin- (cf. Skt. nom. balī to stem balin-'strong'), with added nom. -s.4

§188. The Stems in Stops, in OP: these include stems ending in t (napāt- 'grandson'), nt (*tunvant- 'strong'), d (θ ard- 'year', pad- 'foot', rād- 'cause'), p (xšap- 'night', ap- 'water' cf. \bar{a} p $\bar{\imath}$ -) \hat{k} (vi θ - 'house', vas- 'will').

I. Nom. $nap\bar{a} = nap\bar{a}^t$, Skt. $n\acute{a}p\bar{a}t$, from pIE * $nep\bar{o}t$ -s with Aryan loss of last consonant of the final cluster; or $= nap\bar{a}^h$ from a remade Iranian * $nap\bar{a}s$ seen in Av. $nap\mathring{a}$.

II. Nom. tunwā = *tunvān with pIE -ōnt (long vowel nom.) as in Gk. φέρων 'bearing', or the same + nom. -s as in Av. hąs 'being' from Iran. *hānt-s, cf. Skt. nom. sán from *sant-s, pIE *s-ent-s. Acc. tunwatam = *tunvantam, with the regular acc. -am from -η (§67.II). Gen. tunwatahyā = *tunvantahyā, with transfer to -o- stem ending, and retention of the -ant- of the suffix as in Av. gsm. fšuyantō 'cattle-raising', despite Av. hatō = Skt. sat-ás, pIE gsm. *sntés (or *sntós) 'being' with strong grade in nsm. *sent-s.

III. Acc. bardam, gen. barda, gen. xšapa, loc. rādiy, -padiy in ni-padiy, vasiy (but cf. Lex. s.v.) have the regular pIE endings of their cases: acc. -m; gen. -es or -os; loc. -i, without added -ā because the forms function as preposition, phrasal adverb, adverb respectively.

stem, because of the final short vowel (§36.III), but is cašam, acc. nt. of an -a- stem. ² Bv. Gr. §290 takes as stem Xšayāršāh- because of the gen. -āha, the second element being arša- 'just' made into an (Ar.) -ās- stem; the objection to this is that -ās- stems are hardly made upon -ā- stems. Cf. also §162 note. ³ Lg. 15.175-6; for other interpretations of these two forms, see Lex. s.vv. ⁴ Lg. 15.170.

IV. Acc. $vi\theta am$, inst. $vi\theta \bar{a}$, loc. $vi\theta iy\bar{a}$, to stem $vi\theta$ - from pIE * $yi\hat{k}$ -, also have the regular endings: acc. $-\eta$, inst. $-\bar{e}$ or $-\bar{o}$, loc. -i, here with added $-\bar{a}$ because $vi\theta iy\bar{a}$ is a true locative in use.

V. Inst. pl. $vi\theta bi\check{s}$ and $abi\check{s}$ show the regular -bhis seen in Skt. -bhis, Av. -bīš; -\theta as a cluster remains by the influence of the separate stem and ending (we expect -db- from -\hat{k}-bh-), and the -b- of $abi\check{s}$ is for -bbh- from -p-bh-.

 \checkmark §189. The Dual Case-Forms of OP: nom. $ub\bar{a}$ 'both', $u\bar{s}iy$ 'two ears, understanding'; acc. $gau\bar{s}\bar{a}$ 'two ears', $[u\bar{s}]\bar{\imath}$ - $c\bar{a}$; inst. $dastaibiy\bar{a}$ 'with two hands', $p\bar{a}daibiy\bar{a}$ 'with two feet', $u\bar{s}\bar{i}biya$, $u\bar{s}iy\bar{a}$, $gau\bar{s}\bar{a}y\bar{a}$.¹ These are masculines, except $u\bar{s}iy$, which is neuter.²

The nom.-acc. of -o- stems ended in pIE -ō or -ōu, seen in Skt. ubhấ ubhấu, Lt. am-bō, OP ubā, gaušā. That of neuter -i- stems ended in -ī, seen in Skt. Ved. dual trī 'three', Lt. trī-gintā 'three tens', and this -ī was transferred in Aryan to consonant-stems, as in Skt. mánas-ī, dual to mánas- 'mind'; thus ušīy is the proper form whether the stem is uš- or uši-, a point which cannot be determined.

The inst. dastaibiyā and pādaibiyā have the stems dasta- and pāda-, with the pronominal plural element -i, plus the inst. ending -bhi + - \bar{a} , as in Av. dat. du. aspaēibua 'two horses' = *aspaibuā; the dat., inst., loc. are identical in the dual, in Aryan languages. Skt. has in this form dśvābhyām, with -bhy \bar{a} (as in Iranian) added to the dual in $-\bar{a}$ as a stem, and a final -m attached; OP ušībiyā is the same formation to ušīy, cf. Skt. akṣībhyām to akṣī 'two eyes'. OP *ušīyā* seems to be an inst. dual (it has the same use and meaning as ušībiyā) formed on the dual stem with the inst. sg. ending $-\bar{a}$; gaušāyā is a like formation to gaušā. The ī before the $-\bar{a}$ in $u \tilde{s} \bar{\imath} y \bar{a}$ is responsible for the glide $-y_{-}$, which has spread to gaušāyā as a vowel-separator; the prior \bar{a} in $gau\check{s}\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ indicates that the $-\bar{i}$ - in ušīyā also is long.3

§190. Adjectives in OP have all their customary uses and forms. For those which are cardinal and ordinal numerals, see §204; for the semi-

¹ Nom. hamiçiyā DB 2.93 is predicate to two singular masculine nouns, but is more probably plural than dual; cf. §259. ² The form karšā does not belong here, nor probably artā-cā brazmaniy (if so normalized) nor taumani-šaiy; on these words see the Lexicon s.vv. ³ On these forms, cf. Kent. Lg. 19.225.

pronominal aniya-, harwa-, hama-, \$203; for the demonstrative and determinative adjectives, which function also as pronouns, \$199, \$200, \$202; for the relative, \$198; for those which are participles, \$239-244.

I. Adjectives are found in all classes of stems, and the history of their case-forms has been included with that of the substantives. The commonest type of adjectival stems ends in -o- for masc. and nt., with $-\bar{a}$ - for the fem.: nom. pIE -os $-om -\bar{a} = OP -a^h -am -\bar{a}$ (§169–§176). The -\(\tilde{i}\)- stems are skauθ-iš -im -aiš, yāumainiš ayāu(ma)iniš, $u\check{s}ab\bar{a}rim$; the $-\bar{\imath}$ - stems are acc. sg. yau[datim], probably yau[dantim], to mase, yaudant, and nom. pl. aθagainiya to masc. aθagaina- (§§177-9]. The -ŭ- stems are paru- (nt. paruv, gen. pl. parūnām), nom. sg. masc. uvāmaršiyu-š and u[xšna]u-š, acc. sg. masc. visadahyu-m (§§180-3). The consonantal stems are artāvan-, manahuvin-, tauvīyah-, hamapitar-, hamātar-, tunuvaⁿt-, all in nom. sg. masc.: artāvā, manauviš, tauviyā, hamapitā, tunuvā with acc. sg. tunuvantam and gen. sg. tunuvantahyā of -o- stem formation (§§184-8).

II. The oldest comparison of adjectives was by the suffixes -ies-/-ios- for the comparative, -is-to-for the superlative; both added to the root rather than to the stem of the adjective in the positive degree. There are these examples in OP:

Comp. nsm. tauvīyā with -īţōs (§48 for -aw-), to a positive*tau-ma-'strong'; cf. Skt. nsm. sthávīyān to positive sthū-rá-.

Comp. stem vah-yas- in Vahyaz-dāta- (for z, see §120), to positive vah-u- 'good', Skt. vásu-, cf. Lex. s.v. vahu-.

Superl. nsm. maθišta 'greatest', asm. maθištam with -isto-; cf. Av. masista-, Gk. Dor. μάκιστος to μἄκιρός.

Superl. asn. dwais[ta]m as adv. 'for a very long time', Skt. dåvistha- 'farthest', superl. to OP Av. Skt. dūra- 'far'.

III. Secondary comparison, that is, comparison by suffixes attached to the stem of the adjective as seen in the positive degree, was made in Aryan by the use of -tara- and -tama-, cf. Gk. -τέρος -τατος, Lt. ex-terus and ex-timus. The comparative is seen in OP fratara- and adv. apataram, the superlative in fratama-. Nt. comp. fraθaram has an alternative suffix with aspirated stop, seen in the Skt. superlative prathamá-. A simpler form of the

comparative suffix is that in Aryan -ra- (pIE -ro-), seen in apara-, formed upon the local adverb OP apa-.

IV. Adjectives were used in OP not merely as attributive and predicate adjectives, but also as substantives: thus *skauθiš* 'lowly', as a masc. sg., means 'person of lowly station'. In certain caseforms they function as adverbs (§191).

§191. The Adverbs of OP will be listed here, except the conjunctions (coordinating, \$291; subordinating, \$293–9) and the prepositions and verbal prefixes, with the inseparable prefixes (\$268–\$271), which are elsewhere adequately discussed; further information may be sought in the Lexicon. These adverbs are by meaning local, temporal, modal, and serial, as in other languages; we group them here according to their formation:

I. Old Adverbs:

Negative: naiy, mā (§292).

Ending in -i: apiy (also enclitic), upariy, -diy, patiy (also enclitic).

II. Adverbs having special adverbial endings: In modal (pAr.) *-thā: avaθā (cf. conj. yaθā), [paruv]iyaθā, an[iya]θā.

In abl. (pIE) *-tos: amata, paruviyata, fravata.

In loc. (pIE) *-ta: citā (cf. conj. yātā).
In loc. (pIE) * dhe: ada_ avadā_idā_[h

In loc. (pIE) *-dhe: ada-, avadā, idā, [haruvadā], dūradā.

In abl. (OP) -ša: avadaša, dūradaša, perhaps avaθāša-.

III. Case-forms as adverbs:

Acc. Nt.: apataram, aparam, citīyam, dargam, ragam, daršam, dwaištam, nūram, paranam, parwam, dwitīyam, -ciy, perhaps hama (DB 4.90); compounds dwitā-paranam (first part instr.), fra-haravam (first part adv.), ha-karam (first part insep. numeral), hyāparam (abl. hyā + aparam); cf. conj. yad-iy.

Inst.: azdā, kā, ci[nā]; dubious avā (see Lex. s.v.); cf. duritā- above.

Abl.: probably hyā (cf. hyāparam, above).

Loc.: ašnaiy, dūraiy, vasiy, -kaiy; cf. conj. yaniy.

IV. Phrasal Adverbs, of prep. + acc. or loc.,

and of acc. or gen. + postpos.:

abiy-aparam (written ab^ayapara), pati-padam,

para-draya (also as two words), pasāva (from

*pasā ava), ni-padiy.

ava-parā, avahya-rādiy: cf. conj. yad-ā.

§192. The Pronouns of OP are the following, which will be discussed in the order in which they are here listed:

- (a) Personal pronouns: adam 'I', tuvam 'thou'.
- (b) Enclitic pronouns of the third person: i, di.
- (c) Nom. pronoun of the third person: haw.
- (d) Survivals of the pIE relative *io-.
- (e) The OP relative and article hya hyā tya.
- (f) The demonstrative *iyam ima* and its suppletions.
- (g) The demonstrative ava- 'that'.
- (h) The interrogative-indefinite pIE $*q^uo-*q^ui-$.
- (i) Demonstrative aita- 'this'.
- (j) Demonstrative ama-'that', only in adv. amata 'from there'; see Lex. s.v.
- (k) Reflexive hwa-, pronoun and possessive adjective of the third person, only in compounds; see Lex. s.v. wa-.
- Semi-pronominal adjectives: aniya- 'other', haruva- 'all', hama- 'one and the same'.

§193. THE FIRST PERSONAL PRONOUN.

I. Nom. sg. adam, Av. azəm, is pIE *eŷhom or *eŷom; it cannot be determined whether the Iranian forms agree with Skt. ahám in having $\hat{g}h$ by influence of the dat. *meŷhi (Skt. máhy-am, Lt. mihǐ), or this extension of the aspirate was limited to Indic, and the Iranian preserved the unaspirated pIE \hat{g} and thus agreed with Lt. ego, Gk. èy\u00e0.

II. Acc. sg. $m\bar{a}m$ agrees with Skt. $m\bar{a}m$, in having the acc. ending -m of nouns added to the pIE $^*m\bar{e}$, which was the accented form: enclitic acc. - $m\bar{a}$ is probably the same without the -m, since Skt. has $m\bar{a}$ as an enclitic (although OP - $m\bar{a}$, Av. $m\bar{a}$ might be for pIE encl. $^*m\check{e}$, Gk. $\mu \epsilon$, because OP writes final \check{a} as long, and Av. writes all final vowels long in monosyllables).

III. Gen. sg. manā, before enclitics sometimes manā-, is for pAr. *mana, Av. mana, cf. Skt. máma with consonantal assimilation: the case-suffix -na, which appears in a number of non-Indo-Iranian languages, is of uncertain origin, but possibly was transferred from the instrumental (OP tyanā; Skt. kāmena, instr. to kāma- 'desire'). Enclitic gen. -maiy (written -ma in A²Sa, §52.I) is for pIE *moi, encl. gen.-dat. in GAv. mōi, Skt. me, Gk. dat. μοι.

IV. Encl. abl. -ma is for pIE *med, Av. mat, Skt. mat, cf. accented pIE *mēd in oLt. mēd, clLt. mē. V. Nom. pl. vayam is pIE *yei + -om from

other pronouns such as adam, tuvam; Skt. vayám, Av. vaēm, cf. Gothic wei-s with pluralizing -s.

VI. Gen. pl. amāxam corresponds to Av. ahmākəm, Skt. asmākam, nt. adj. in genitive function, from the stem seen in Skt. instr. asmābhiş, loc. asmāsu. The source of the aspiration which gives -x- in OP is unknown.

§194. The Second Personal Pronoun.

I. Nom. sg. twam is the same as Skt. twám, from pIE *tū + -om (spreading from 1st person adam, etc.): twa without -m, \$52.V.

II. Acc. Sg. $\theta uv\bar{u}m$ is the same as Skt. $tv\dot{u}m$, from pIE * $tu\bar{e}$ + acc. -m of nouns (cf. $m\bar{u}m$ 'me').

III. Gen. encl. -taiy is the same as Skt. gen.-dat. te, pIE *toi; -tay, \$52.II.

§195. The Enclitic Pronouns of the Third Person.

I. Acc. -šim is the acc. of pIE *st-, in the pAr. sandhi-form with š after final -i and -u (§117); Skt. sīm has the long vowel; Av. hīm has pAr. h which is regular initial antevocalic, but the long vowel is not significant because i is in Av. often written long before final -m.¹ To -šim, the gen.-dat. -šaiy was formed by analogy to 1st person -maiy, 2d person -taiy. The abl. -ša' was used only as a suffix to ablatival adverbs; in form it is analogical to 1st person -ma', Av. mat, Skt. mat, and to 2d person Av. \thetawat, Skt. tvat; but in DB 1.50 -šim functions as ablative. Pl. acc. -šiš (Av. hīš) and gen. -šām were formed by analogy, though -šim was used also to refer to a plural antecedent.

II. Acc. -dim (Av. dīm), not differentiated in function from -šim, seems to have originated by wrong division in such combinations as pasāvadim (cf. DNa 33, where we divide it pasāva-dim), in which -im was acc. to *is, Lt. is 'this, he'. Acc. pl. -diš (Av. dīš) is formed by analogy to -šiš, and is sometimes written as a separate word (DB 4.34, 35, 36); for a misread acc. pl. daiy (DB 5.11), see Lex. s.v. daiy.

III. -šiš and -diš are the only OP acc. pl. forms with endings distinctive of the case; all other OP acc. pl. forms are identical with the nom. pl., either by phonetic development or by analogy.

¹ The source of pIE *sī- is not clear. Perhaps it is a conflux of pIE nsm. *so, nsf. *sā (Skt. sá-s sā, Gk. ò $\dot{\eta}$) and pIE nsm. *i-s, nsf. *ī (Lt. nsm. is; Skt. nsf. iyám from *ī + -om), asm. *i-m, asf. *ī-m. In this way even the variation in vowel length is accounted for.