The form -\$i\tilde{s}, upon which -di\tilde{s} was made by analogy, must be older than the coalescence of the two sets of forms, and have received its -\tilde{s} from pIE -ns of the acc. pl., reduced to -s in pIE after long vowels, as in Skt. acc. pl. \$\delta \tilde{n} as 'armies', \$dev'\tilde{s}\$ 'goddesses', \$vadh'\tilde{a}s' 'women', cf. GAv. enel. acc. pl. masc. \$\tilde{i}\tilde{s}\$ to stem \$i\$-. We cannot be certain therefore that -\tilde{s}\tilde{s}\$ and -di\tilde{s}\$ had a short rather than a long vowel. The reason for the preservation of these distinctive forms is that these stems had no regular nominative forms which could be transformed by analogy to accusative function.

§196. The Third Personal Pronoun haw, functioning also as an adjective, is from pIE \*so + particle \*u; see also Lex. s.v. This \*so is the nsm. of the pIE demonstrative \*so \*sā \*tod, seen in Av.  $h\bar{o}$   $h\bar{a}$  tat, Skt.  $s\hat{a}$ - $s\hat{a}$   $t\hat{a}$ d, Gk.  $\delta$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\hat{o}$ . OP haw serves both as nsm. (from \*so-u) and as nsf. (from \*sā-u) (§131); before an enclicic pronoun it is written either hau- or haw- (§137). The form hau-vam (DB 1.29) is haw + -am from adam, tvam, etc., retaining the -v after the model of the separate haw.

The same pIE demonstrative appears probably in the enclitic apm.  $-t\bar{a}$  in  $ava\theta\bar{a}\,\&a-t\bar{a}$  (DB 4.72; see Lex. s.v. -ta-), and as the prior element in hya  $hy\bar{a}$  tya (§198).

§197. The PIE RELATIVE PRONOUN \*io- survives in OP as the second element of hya hyā tya (§198); in the nom.-acc. nt. yaciy 'whatever' from \*iod-q\*id; and in the subordinating conjunctions yātā, yaθā, yadā, yadiy, yaniy, yāvā, the formation of which is given in the Lex. s.vv.

§198. The OP Relative and Article hya hyā tya is for earlier \*syas syā tyad, an amalgamation of the demonstrative \*sa sā tad (pIE \*so sā tod) with the relative \*jas jā jad (pIE \*jos jā jod); its equivalent is not found in Avestan. The demonstrative syā-s syā tyād of Vedic Skt., though phonetically identical, differs in meaning and seems to be an -(i)jos extension of the old demonstrative, which has assumed the paradigmatic endings of the demonstrative itself (Lg. 20.1-6).

The forms extant in OP are the following:

		Masc.	Fem.	Neut.
Sg.	Nom.	hya	$hyar{a}$	tya
	Acc.	tyam	$ty\bar{a}m$	tya
	Inst.			$tyan\bar{a}$

	Masc.	Fem.	Neut.
Du. Nom.	$tyar{a}$		
Pl. Nom.	tyaiy tyai-	tyā tyaiy	$tyar{a}$
Acc.	tyaiy	$tyar{a}$	$ty\bar{a}$
Gen.		$tyai$ š $ar{a}m$	

The nom. sg. of the relative in pIE, as given above, is represented in the nom. sg.  $hya^h hy\bar{a} tya^d$ . Other forms follow the usual  $-o-/-\bar{a}$ - declension, except the following: inst.  $tyan\bar{a}$  has the ending -na seen in Skt.  $y\acute{e}na$ , Av. kana (to Av. ka- 'who?'); nom. pl. tyaiy has pronom. pl. -i, like Skt.  $y\acute{e}$ , from pIE \* $\acute{i}$ oi, and this form, properly masc. nom., may function also as masc. acc. and as fem. nom. (alongside the regular fem. nom.  $ty\ddot{a}$ , Skt.  $y\acute{a}s$ ); gen. pl.  $tyaiš\bar{a}m$  has plural -i + pronominal gen. pl.  $-s\bar{a}m$ , cf. Skt.  $y\acute{e}s\ddot{a}m$ , and is used for the fem. as well as for the masc. (no extant masc. example).

While the stem with original initial s was originally limited to the nom. sg. masc. and fem., there is an occasional extension of the s- to other forms. In OP we have the absn. hyā, from pAr. \*siād, as adverb in hyā duvaištam and in hyāparam.

§199. The Demonstrative Pronoun 'This' was in OP a combination of two stems, each in two forms: *i*- and *ima*-, *a*- and *ahyā*-: their developments are described below. The extant forms are:

		Masc.	Fem.	Neut.
Sg.	Nom.	iyam	iyam	ima
	Acc.	imam	$im\bar{a}m$	ima
	Inst.	$anar{a}$		
	Loc.		$ahy \bar{a}y \bar{a}$	
Pl.	Nom.	imaiy	$im\bar{a}$	
	Acc.	imaiy	$im  ilde{a}$	$imar{a}$
	Inst.			$imaibi\S$
	Gen.	$imai$ š $\bar{a}m$		

The stem \*i- is that seen in Latin is, nt. id, pIE nsm. \*is, nt. \*id; the nsf. was presumably \*ī, which, with the addition of (Ar.) -am from other pronouns, gives Skt. nsf. iyám, OP nsf. iyam, used also as masc. The asm. was pIE \*im, which, with the same affix, is Skt. imám, OP imam, Av. imam; from this a stem ima- was extracted, declined according to the pronominal endings: nt. \*imad, OP ima, Av. imat; npm. \*imai, OP imaiy, Av. ime. Other forms from this stem are regular in case-formation, but in Skt. and Av. they are limited to

the nom. and acc. cases; in OP the plural -i is kept before the case-ending in the inst. and gen.

From the gsm. \*ahya (Skt. asyá, GAv. ahyā, LAv. ahe), not found in extant OP, a stem ahyawas extracted in OP, to which a fem. stem ahyāwas formed, with a lsf. ahyāyā, sometimes also ahiyāyā (§27). The stem a-, on which \*ahya was formed, is pIE \*e-, seen in Lt. nt. \*ed in ec-ce 'lo'; on it also is formed the ism. OP anā, GAv. anā, with the inst. ending -na seen in tyanā.

§200. The Demonstrative Pronoun 'that' was in OP ava-, found also in Av. (Skt. only gen. du. avós). The extant OP forms are:

Sg. Nom.	ava	Fem.	Neut. ava avaš-ciy
Acc. Inst.	avam	avām	ava avaš-ciy avanā
Abl.	avanā		avanā
Gen. Pl. Nom.	avahyā avaiy	$a[v\tilde{a}]$	$avar{a}$
Acc. Gen.	avaiy avaišām		

The nt. ava is for \*avad, with pron. -d; avaš-ciy is a sandhi-product (§105). Isn. avanā has inst. -na, like tyanā and anā. Abl. avanā is for \*avasmād, with the regular pronominal ending of the abl., as in Av. ahmāt, Skt. asmát, to stem a-; whence OP \*avahmād, remade to avanā after inst. avanā. The remaining forms show no new peculiarities.

The adverbs from this stem are avaθā, avadā, ava-parā, avahya-rādiy, pasāva (from \*pasā ava).

**§201.** The Interrogative-Indefinite PIE  $*q^{\mu}o^{-}/q^{\mu}i^{-}$  occurs only sparingly in OP. Nsm. kaš-ciy 'anybody' is  $*q^{\mu}o^{-}s$ , Skt. kas, Av.  $kas^{-}$ , + encl. -ciy; asn. ciš-ciy 'anything' is  $*q^{\mu}i^{-}d$ , Gk.  $\tau_{i}$ , Lt. quid, + encl. -ciy: both with Median sandhi-development (§105). Enclitic -ciy is pIE  $*q^{\mu}id$ , Skt. cid, Av.  $-\dot{c}it$ , Lt. quid, etc. See also aciy, yaciy,  $k\bar{a}$ , -kaiy,  $cit\bar{a}$ ,  $ci[n\bar{a}]$ , ciyākara- in the Lexicon.

§202. The Demonstrative aita- 'this' was perhaps the demonstrative pIE \*e- (§199) + deictic -i + demonstrative \*to- (§196). In OP we find nsn.-asn. aita (Av. aētat, Skt. etád), apf. aitā.

§203. Semi-Pronominal Adjectives are those adjectives which to some extent are usable as pronouns, and therefore have assumed some of the special declensional forms of pronouns: such are,

for example, Lt. ūnus, alter, etc., with gen. in -tus, dat. in -ī, like is, quī, hic, etc.

I. OP aniya-, Av. ainya-, Skt. anyá- 'other (of two)'; forms:

Sg.	Nom.	Masc. aniya	Fem. aniyā	Neut. aniya aniyaš-ciy
	Acc. Abl.	aniyam aniyanā	aniyām	
Pl.	Nom.	aniyai-ciy aniyāha	aniyā	
	Acc.		$aniyar{a}$	
	Loc.		aniyāuvā	

Of these forms, nsn. aniya has -d, like Skt. anyád, Av. adv. ainyaţ 'except'; aniyaš-ciy has the same sandhi-development which has been seen in avaš-ciy, ciš-ciy. Abl. aniyanā developed like abl. avanā (§200); cf. Skt. abl. anyásmād. Npm. aniyai- has the pronominal pl. -i; aniyāha has the double ending seen in bagāha, which it modifies (§10). Loc. aniyāwā is equal to Skt. anyásu, with added postposition -ā; cf. maškāwā (§§175-6).

II. OP haruva- 'all' (Av. haurva-, Skt. sárva-) has nsm. haruva; asn. -haravam in adv. fraharavam (with irregular writing of -rv-, §26); lsf. harwa-hyāyā, upon fem. stem haruvahyā- formed to gsm. \*haruvahyā, Skt. sárvasya (haruvahyāya is a defective writing not indicating the length of the vowel), cf. lsf. ahyāyā (§199).

III. OP hama- 'one and the same' (Av. hama-, Skt. samā-) has hama DB 4.92, either nsm. hama<sup>h</sup> or asn. as adv. \*hama<sup>h</sup> with pron. nt. -d (in DB 4.90, asn. as adv., if correctly read); gsf. hama-hyāyā, formed on stem extracted from gsm. \*hamahyā, like lsf. ahyāyā and harwahyāyā.

§204. THE NUMERALS are scantily represented in OP, since they are commonly indicated by numerical signs (§43). There are the following written out in the cuneiform characters:

I. One: asm. aivam, Av. aēva-, Gk. Cypr. oifos 'alone', from pIE \*oiyos; probably demonstrative \*o- + deictic i + suffix -yo-, cf. with other suffixes Skt. éka- 'one' from pIE \*oiqo-, and Lt. ūnus, Gt. áins, from pIE \*oino-. pIE \*sem 'one' (Gk. nt. ½v 'one', Lt. sem-per 'always') is seen in zero form \*sm- as first part of OP ha-karam 'once', cf. Skt. sa-kṛt 'once'; with this, the inseparable prefix

OP ha- ham- (see Lex. s.vv.), Skt. sa- sam-, is probably identical.

II. Two: nt. dwitiyam 'a second time' is the precise equivalent of Skt. dvitiya- 'second'; formed on \*dui- (as in Skt. dviṣ 'twice') + ordinal suffix -to- + a further suffix -tio-. The simpler dvita-seems to appear in inst. sg. as the first part of dwitā-paranam 'former with a second', that is, 'one after another'. The stem aniya- 'one or other of two, other, rest of' is the same as Skt. anyā-; cf. Gt. anpara-, Eng. other, with the comparative suffix, see §190.III.

III. Three: nt.  $cit\bar{\imath}yam$  'a third time' and the conjectural asf.  $c[it\bar{\imath}am]$  are related to the stem \*tri'three' in Av.  $\theta rit\bar{\imath}m$  (graphic for  $-t\bar{\imath}\imath yam$ ) 'a second

time' and to Gk. τρίτος 'third', as OP duvitīyam and dvita- are to the similar cognates (see II); Skt. trtīya- has the same suffix, but is based on a simpler form of the stem.

IV. Nine: nsm. navama 'ninth' is identical with Skt. navamá-s, and is formed from the ordinal pIE \*neun, Skt. náva, in imitation of \*dekumos to \*dekum, cf. Skt. daśamá-s to dáśa, Lt. decimus to decem. The -n in 'nine' is seen in the Lt. ordinal nōnus, from \*nouenos.

V. Hundred: it is possible, but not certain, that the prior part of the province-name θata-guš is the stem of the pIE \*kmtó-m '100', cf. Av. satəm, Skt. śatám, Lt. centum.

## CHAPTER VI. STEMS AND FORMS OF VERBS

§205. THE VERB IN OLD PERSIAN shows the expected form-categories, though some of them are scantily represented:

Tense-Stems: present, aorist, perfect, with various formations.

Voices: active, middle, passive.

Moods: indicative, subjunctive, optative, injunctive, imperative.

Tenses: present, imperfect, aorist, perfect.

Persons: first, second, third.

Numbers: singular, dual, plural.

Infinitive: present.

Participles: present active, present middle, perfect passive, future passive.

## §206. VERBAL PREFIXES.

- (a) The following adverbs, occurring also in prepositional uses, are found as verbal prefixes in OP: ā-, ati-, abi-, upa-, upari-, ni-, pati-, parā-.
- (b) The following, not found as prepositions in the extant remains of OP, are found as verbal prefixes: apa-, ava-, ud-, nij-, fra-, vi-.
- (c) Double prefixes are perhaps found in two forms: pati-ā- in patiyābaram DB 1.68, ava-ā-in avājaniyā DB 1.51, 52; but there are some dubious features even about these, cf. JAOS 62.274-5.
- §207. THE PRESENT-TENSE FORMATIONS which are found in OP include the following types, which are discussed in the following sections:
- (a) Type of Skt. ásti.
- (b) Type of Skt. dádhāti.
- (c) Types of Skt. krnóti, krīnāti, vénati.

- (d) Type of Latin jungit.
- (e) Type of Skt. prccháti.
- (f) Types of Skt. bhávati, rudáti.
- (g) Types of Skt. náhyati, drúhyati.
- (h) Types of Skt. pātáyati, patáyati.
- (i) Type with suffix -ue-/-uo-.
- (i) Type of Skt. namasyati (denominative).

§208. The Present-Tense System of type Skt. *āsti*: the stem is the bare root, non-thematic, suffixless, without reduplication; the root has the strong grade in the singular indicative active and in the singular imperative active (except when the personal ending is pIE \*-dhi), and the zero grade in other forms unless paradigmatic leveling interferes:

pIE\*ei-ti 'he goes', OP aitiy, Skt. éti; \*i-te 'go ye', OP -itā in paraitā, Skt. itá; \*i-dhi 'go thou', OP -idiy in parātiy, Skt. itá; \*i-dhi 'go thou', OP -āyam (for -am, §67.II) in nij-āyam [up]-āyam, Skt. āyam; imf. 3d sg. OP āiš (on -š, §228.III) and atiy-āiš; imf. 3d pl. with thematic vowel from 1st sg., OP -āyan in apariyāyan, -āišan (on -šan, §232.III) in patiy-āišan, mid. āyantā from \*ā-āyantā.

pIE\*es-mi 'I am', OP ahmiy, Skt. ásmi; \*es-ti 'he is', OP astiy, Skt. ásti; \*s-enti 'they are', OP hantiy, Skt. sánti; pAr. \*s-mas-i 'we are', Skt. smás-i, OP ahmahy (with full grade of root extended from the singular) imf. \*ēs-m 'I was', in antevocalic development Skt. ásam, OP āham, whence with thematic vowel 3d sg. OP āhat',

Av. avhat, 3d pl. OP  $\bar{a}ha^n$ , Skt.  $\hat{a}san$ , mid. OP  $\bar{a}ha^n t\bar{a}$  (and  $\bar{a}ha^n ta$ , §36.IV.c).

pIE \*g\*hen-ti 'he smites', OP ja\*tiy, Skt. hánti\[ \*eg\*hen-t 'he smote', OP aja\*, Skt. áhan; 2d sg. imv. \*g\*hn-dhi, OP jadiy, Skt. jaht; so also 2d pl. imv. \*g\*hn-te, OP jatā, and 3d sg. imf. mid. \*eg\*hn-to, OP patiy-ajatā\[ imf. \*eg\*hen-m, OP ajanam, Skt. áhanam (with antevocalic development of m, §67.II), whence with thematic vowel 3d pl. OP -ajana\* in avājana\* and perhaps the restored subjunctive 3d pl. vi-janā\*tiy.

pAr. \*k(h)an- 'dig', in 3d pl. imf. OP viy-aka", 3d sg. imv. OP ni-ka"tuv.

pAr. \*pā- 'protect', Skt. pắti 'he protects'; OP 2d sg. imv. pādiy, 3d sg. and pl. imv. pātuv pāntuv. pIr. \*xšnau-ti, OP ā-xšnautiy 'he satisfies'; imv. \*xšnu-dhi, OP ā-xšnudiy 'hear thou'.

pIE \* $g^{\mu}em$ - in opt. Skt. gam- $y\bar{a}t$ , OP  $\bar{a}$ - $jamiy\bar{a}^{t}$  (cf. §101).

pIE \*bhų-iįėt to root \*bheu-, in OP biyā', cf. Av. buyāt from \*bhu-įėt; on loss of -ų-, §114.

§209. The Present-Tense System of type Skt. dádhāti: the stem is the root, non-thematic, suffixless, with reduplication; ablaut grades of the root the same as in the preceding class:

pIE \*dhē- 'put, make'; imf. OP adadā, Skt. ádadhāt, Gk. ἐτίθη.

pIE \* $d\bar{o}$ - 'give'; imv. OP  $dad\bar{a}tuv$ , Skt.  $d\acute{a}d\bar{a}tu$ , Gk. διδότω.

pIE \*stā- 'put, (mid.) stand'; imf. mid. OP ahištatā, Skt. átişthata, both probably shifted to thematic class (§213); Gk. ἴστατο.

pIE \*dhī- 'think, see', in imv. Skt. dīdihi didīhi, OP dīdiy (with haplology, §129).

§210. THE PRESENT-TENSE SYSTEM OF TYPES SKT. kṛṇóti, krṇáti, vénati: the stem is the root in the zero-grade (usually), with a suffix beginning with a nasal.

I. The suffix is -neu-, varying with -nu-:

pAr. \*kq-nau- in OP kunautiy, Skt. kqnóti; imv. OP kunautw, Skt. kqnótu; imf. OP akunavam (§226.II), akunauš (§228.III), Skt. ákqnavam ákqnot; with thematic vowel, OP 3d pl. act. akunava\*, mid. akunava\*tā.

pAr. \*dhṛš-nau- in OP adaršnauš, Skt. ádhṛṣṇot. pAr. \*uṛ-nau- in Skt. vṛnóti; thematic in OP imf. mid. avarnavatā, imv. mid. varnavatām.

pAr. \*tu-nau-/-nu- in pres. \*tunauti, OP ptc. nsm. tunuv-ā, asm. -a<sup>n</sup>tam, gsm. -a<sup>n</sup>tahyā.

On danu[taiy] as alternative to danu[vatiy], see §216; on  $\bar{a}$ -xšnautiy and forms, see §208.

II. The suffix is  $-n\bar{a}$ -, alternating with  $-n\bar{a}$ -:

OP imf. adīnā<sup>t</sup> 'he took away', cf. Av. zināiti 'he harms'; OP 1st sg. adīnam with -nā- as though thematic.

OP imf. adānā<sup>t</sup>, Skt. ájānāt 'he knew', from \*ĝ̄ņnā-; unless from \*ĝ̄nō-nā- with full grade of the root and dissimilative loss of the prior n (§68).

III. The suffix is thematic -ne-/-no-:

pIE \*ueid-ne-/-no- in OP vaināmiy 'I see', 3d sg. vainatiy, mid. vainataiy; Skt. vénāmi 'I desire', vénati, vénate.

§211. The Present-Tense System of type Latin jungit: the stem is the root in zero grade, with an ablauting infix -ne-/-n-: Skt. yu-ná-k-ti 'he joins', pl. yu-ñ-j-ánti, to root \*jeug-/\*jug-. In some languages the paradigm is leveled to the form of the plural, and the stem is made thematic; thus Latin jungit, jungunt. This is the situation in the Aryan root \*mauth-, Skt. pres. munthate' 'he runs away', OP imf. amundat 'he fled', and probably in Ar. \*pais-, Skt. pres. piśáti, OP 3d sg. imf. apiðat (DSf 54).

The same formation is seen in Skt. vi-n- $d\acute{a}ti$  'finds'; the present participle OP  $vi^n da^t$ - is seen as the prior element of  $Vidafarn\bar{a}$  'Intaphernes'.

§212. The Present-Tense System of type  $Skt.\ prechati$ : the stem has the thematic suffix -ske-/-sko-, the root being normally in a zero-grade; but some verbs have the full grade extended from other stems:

pIE \*prek-, pres. \*prk-ske-, OP parsāmiy 'I punish', Skt. prechāmi 'I ask'; imf. OP aparsam, Skt. aprecham; imv. OP parsā, Skt. prechá.

pIE \*iem- 'reach out', pres. \*im-ske-, Skt. yácchati; imf. mid. OP āyasatā (to ā-yam-).

pIE \*tres- in Skt. trásati; pres. \*trs-ske-, OP tarsatiy 'he fears', pl. tarsa\*tiy, imf. atarsa\*, pl. atarsa\*, inj. 1st sg. tarsam.

pIE \*ĝnō-, pres. \*ĝnō-ske-, Lt. gnōscit 'he learns'; subj. OP xšnāsāhy xšnāsātiy, Lt. (fut. ind.) gnōscēs gnōscet.

pIE \*7- in pres. \*7-ske-, Skt. rccháti; \*re-ske-, NPers. räsäð, imf. OP arasam 'I went', 3d sg. -arasa in parārasa'.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm I}$  Middle, with cerebral -nth- of post-classical development.

§213. THE PRESENT-TENSE SYSTEM OF TYPES SKT. bhávati, rudáti: the stem consists of the root ending in a thematic -e-/-o-; the root is regularly in the strong grade if accented, but in the zero-grade if the thematic vowel is accented:

pIE \*bhere-, Skt. bhárati; OP bara\*tiy 'they bear', Skt. bháranti; imf. OP abaram, 3d sg. abarat, 3d pl. abara\* abaraha\*, mid. abara\*tā; imv. 3d sg. baratuv; in cpds., 3d sg. pres. ā-baratiy, 2d sg. imv. pari-barā.

pIE \*bheye-, Skt. bhávati, OP bavatiy 'he becomes'; 3d pl. bava<sup>n</sup>tiy, imf. abavam abava<sup>t</sup> abava<sup>n</sup>.

pIE \*pete-, Skt. pátati 'he flies'; imf. mid. OP udapatatā 'he rose up, rebelled'.

pIE \*iaĝe-, Skt. yájati 'he worships'; mid. OP yadataiy, imf. 1st sg. ayadaiy, Skt. áyaje.

pIE \*reĝhe- (or \*redhe-, see Lex. s.v.), Skt. rahati 'he leaves'; inj. 2d sg. OP ava-rada<sup>k</sup>.

pIE \*qieye-, Skt. cyávati 'he moves'; imf. OP ašiyavam 'I set forth', ašiyava' ašiyava".

pIE \*ke(n)se-, Skt. śą́sati 'he praises', OP θātiy (§131) 'he says'; imf. OP aθaham, aθahat.

pIE \*neie-, Skt. náyati 'leads'; OP imf. -anayam in frānayam, anaya', anaya", 3d sg. mid. anayatā.

pIE \*mṛde-, Skt. mṛdáti 'he crushes', OP vi-mar-datiy, imf. viyamarda' (cf. §44).

pIE \*srĝe-, Skt. srjáti 'he looses'; imf. OP ava-har-[da] (unaugmented).

pIE \*snge-, Skt. sájati 'he hangs', or \*senge- (Skt. accent is irregular for root in zero-grade); imp. OP -ahajam (or -aha\*jam?) in frāhajam.

Also, with strong-grade roots: OP kana- in imf. avākanam; gauba- in mid. gaubataiy, imf. agaubatā, pl. agauba<sup>†</sup>tā; taxša- in mid. ha<sup>m</sup>taxšataiy, imf. hamataxšaiy hamataxšatā hamataxša<sup>†</sup>tā; yauda- (Av. yaozaiti), in imf. ayauda¹ ayauda¹, ptc. yau[da¹tim]; apparently vaja- in avajam, raxθa- in imv. raxθatuv; perhaps māva- in 3d pl. imf. mid. [am]āvatā.

pAr. \*kšaja- in Skt. kṣáyati 'he possesses', OP imf. patiy-axšayaiy 'I ruled over', ptc. xšayamna 'ruling'; unless this is rather pIE \*qb -ie- (\$214) to \*qbē- seen in Gk. κέκτημαι 'I possess'.

pAr. \*fīya-, OP jīva-, see §216.

§214. THE PRESENT-TENSE SYSTEM OF TYPES SKT. náhyati, drúhyati: there is a suffix -ie-/-io-added to the root either in a strong grade or in a zero grade; this suffix may be identical with the

passive suffix (§220), which is accented, and therefore this class may be merely passives which have assumed active (or middle) meanings, usually accompanied with a shift of the accent to the radical syllable. Those with strong-grade roots seems to be later formations, made from the roots by direct addition of the unaccented suffix.

pIE \*dhreugh-: pAr. \*drughia- in Skt. drúhyati 'he deceives', OP imf. adurujiya', pl. adurujiyaša". pIE \*men-: pAr. \*mania- in Skt. mányate 'he thinks', OP 1st sg. maniyaiy, imf. amaniyaiy.

pIE \*mer-: pAr. \*mrie- or \*mriie- in Skt. mriyate (with accent of passive) 'he dies', OP imf. amariyatā.

pIE \*ĝhyā- (vowel quality indeterminate) and \*ĝhya-: pAr. źhya-ya- 'call' in Skt. hváyati, OP imf. patiy-azbayam.

pAr. \*pā- 'protect', and \*pa-ya- (from pIE \*pa-), in OP 1st sg. imf. apayaiy, 2d sg. imv. mid. pati-payahwā.

pIE \*g\*hedh- in pAr. \*fadhia-, Av. jaiôyeiti, OP jadiyāmiy 'I entreat'.

pAr. \*as- 'throw' in \*asia-, Skt. ásyati, OP imf. mid. [ā]h[yat]ā.

pIE \*stā- in pAr. \*stā-ja-, OP imf. -astāyam in niyaštāyam 'I enjoined' (on š §117) and avāstā yam 'I restored', 3d sg. niyaštāya'.

plĒ \*mē- 'measure' in pĀr. \*mā-ja-, Skt. māyate:
OP imf. mid. -amāyatā in frāmāyatā 'commanded'.

On OP x šaya-, see §213.

§215. The Present-Tense System of types Skt. pātāyati, patāyati: this is an original causative formation with the pIE suffix -éie-/-éio- attached to the root in the o-grade; in Aryan the suffix is -aia- and the root has -ă- (also in the diphthongs -ai- and -au- before consonants) or -ā-(rarely, -ī- or -ū-).

pIE \*dher- 'hold firm': pAr. \*dhāraja- in Skt. dhārayati; OP dārayāmiy, dārayatiy, pl. dāraya\*-tiy, imf. 3d sg. adāraya\*, mid. 1st sg. ham-adārayaiy, 3d sg. adā[rayat]ā.

pIE \*klei- 'lean': pAr. \*śrājaja- in Skt. śrāyayati;
OP 1st sg. imf. niy-açārayam, with -r- after dārayatiy.

pIE \*nek- 'perish': pAr. \*nāśaja- in Skt. nāśajati, OP vi-nāθayatiy, imf. 3d sg. viy-anāθaya', opt. 3d sg. vi-nāθayaiš.

pIE \*sed- 'sit': pAr. \*sādaja- 'seat' in Skt. sādáyati;

OP imf. niyašādayam 'I set down' (on -š-, §117). pAr. \*aiš-aia- in Skt. eṣayati; OP imf. frāišayam frāišaya'.

pĀr. \*ģāy-aja- in Skt. jāvayati; OP imf. abiyajāvayam 'I promoted'.

pAr. \*tāu-aia- in OP tāvayati 'has strength', imf. atāvayam.

pAr. \*tar-aia- 'cross', OP imf. viy-atarayam 'I put across', pl. viy-atarayāmā; cf. Skt. tāráyati.

pAr. \*mān-aia- 'remain', OP imf. amānaya'.

pAr. \*gauźh-aia- 'conceal', OP 2d sg. inj. apagaudayah; cf. Skt. gūháyati.

pIr. \*śadaja- 'seem', Av. sadayeiti, OP 3d sg. inj. \theta adaya'; cf. Skt. chadáyati chandayati.

pIE \*uort-eie- 'cause to turn', Skt. vartayati; perhaps in OP [va]rtaiyaiy 'I appeal to' (for -tayaiy, §48).

pOP \*ias-aia- derivative to pIr. \*iasa- (with pIE -ske-, OP imf. āyasatā 'took as his own'), nīya-saya' 'set down' (for \*niyayasaya', §23.I).

§216. The Present-Tense System with surfix -ue-/-uo-: this is found with certainty in OP only in inj. stamba-va\* 'revolt!', cf. NPers. stämb-. There are two other less certain examples:

OP danu[vatiy], Skt. dhánvati, stem pAr. dhanva-; unless we should restore OP danu[taiy], like Skt. dhanuté, stem pIE \*dhn-nu- (varying with -neu-, §210.I].

OP imv. jīvā 'live!' from pIE \*guī-ue; OP 3d du. imf. ajīvatam; cf. Skt. jīvati 'he lives': unless \*guīue- is really a broken reduplication \*guī-guē- to the root \*guē- 'live', with dissimilative loss of the second g, cf. Lt. vīvō 'I live', vīvos 'living', Osc. npm. bivus 'living', but oEng. cwīcu 'living', NEng. quick, Gm. queck, erquicken.

§217. The Present-Tense System of Denominative Verbs (type, Skt. namas-yati 'reveres', to namas- 'reverence') has the pIE suffix \*-ie-/-io- attached to the nominal stem; the suffix originally bore the accent:

OP avah-ya- in imf. patiy-avahyaiy 'I appealed for help', to stem in Av. avah- 'help'.

OP garbā-ya- in imf. agarbāyam agarbāya<sup>t</sup> agarbāya<sup>n</sup> agarbāyatā; to pAr.\*grbhā-, ef. Skt. grbhāyati; OP ptc.  $\bar{a}$ -garbīta has the final stem-vowel of the noun changed to  $\bar{\imath}$ , as in the next example.

OP draujī-ya- 'regard as a lie' in 2d sg. subj. [drau]jīyāhy, to drauga- 'the Lie', with change of the final stem vowel to ī before the suffix; cf. Whitney, Skt. Gram. §1059d.

§218. THE AORIST-TENSE FORMATIONS found in OP are few and scattering.

I. The Sigmatic Aorist is seen in niy-apaišam 'I inscribed' (or -apišam?)¹, to root paið-, pIE \*peik-; in mid. adaršiy 'I held', to root dar-, pIE \*dher-; perhaps in pass. -asahya in frāsah[ya]. The aorist endings 3d sg. -s-t, pl. -s-nt, may also be responsible for the endings of āiš -āišan to root ay-(\$208); akunauš akunavaša to kar-; adurujiyaša to durujiya-; abaraha to bar-.

II. The Strong or Suffixless Aorist, with strong grade of the root in the singular indicative active and zero grade in most other forms, is seen in OP  $ad\bar{a}^{t}$  'he created', Skt.  $adh\bar{a}t$ , pIE \* $\acute{e}dh\bar{e}t$ ; OP  $akut\bar{a}$  'he made',  $akum\bar{a}$  'we made',  $kušuv\bar{a}$  'make thou', = Skt. akrta, \*akrma, \*krsva. Possibly also there belong here the imv.  $d\bar{u}diy$ , if it is to be explained without haplology (§209), and the opt.  $\bar{a}$ - $jamiy\bar{a}$  (§208), which have been taken as presents; perhaps also the opt. 2d sg.  $biy\bar{a}^{t}$ , 3d sg.  $biy\bar{a}^{t}$  (§223.I). On  $am^{s}x^{s}m^{s}t^{s}a$  if to be taken as (h)am- $axmat\bar{a}$ , see Lex. s.v.  $amaxamat\bar{a}$ .

§219. The Perfect Tense is attested with certainty in OP only by a single form, the opt.  $caxriy\bar{a}^t$ , from \*qe-qr- $i\bar{e}\bar{e}t$ , with regular reduplication and with zero grade of the root in the optative. There is a dubious restoration of a 3d sg. perf. indic. pass.  $[c]\bar{a}xr[iyat\bar{a}]$  or  $caxr[iyat\bar{a}]$ , DB 4.90, for KT's  $..ax^ar^a...$ 

§220. The Passive-Voice Present Stem of pIE was formed by the addition of the suffix pIE -ie-/-io-, which is accented in Sanskrit; in OP the root regularly has the normal grade, with -a-: imf. 3d sg. and pl. abariya abariya<sup>n</sup>, to root bar-; ayadiya ayadiya<sup>n</sup>, to yad-; akaniya, to root kan-'dig'; aθahya and aθahiya, to θah-; avaniya, to van-; ajaniya, to jan-; akariya akariya<sup>n</sup>tā, to kar-; pres. 1st pl. θahyāmahy, to θah-; opt. 3d sg. kariyaiš to kar-, fraθiyaiš to fraθ-, 3d pl. yadiyaiša<sup>n</sup> to yad-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> But pAr. \*dhanva- may be merely \*dha-nu- made thematic, and therefore properly analyzable as \*dha-nu- + -e-; cf. similar shifts from non-thematic to thematic in Greek verbs, such as  $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa - \nu \dot{\nu} - \epsilon - \tau \epsilon$  'you show' replacing  $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa - \nu \nu - \tau \epsilon$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Though OP  $n^a i y^a p^a i \dot{s}^a m^a$  permits either normalization, an s-aorist with strong ablaut-grade is to be expected rather than one with zero-grade.

With the vocalism of the present-tense stem:  $ad\bar{a}riya$ , to dar-, pres.  $d\bar{a}raya$ - (§215); and the uncertain  $[ap|i[\theta]i[ya]]$  (see Lex. s.v.  $pai\theta$ -). Formed on the present-tense stem: 3d pl.  $akunavaya^nt\bar{a}$ , to kar-, pres. kunav-a- (§210.I); and the uncertain  $[a]t\bar{i}ya[si]ya$ , for \*atiyayasiya (§215), to yam-, pres. yasa- (§212). Dubious forms on the sigmatic aorist stem,  $fr\bar{a}sah[ya]$  to root  $s\bar{a}$ - (§218.I), and on the perfect stem,  $caxr[iyat\bar{a}]$  or  $[c]\bar{a}xr[iyat\bar{a}]$ , to kar-.

It is to be noted that with two exceptions active endings are used, the -ya- suffix being adequate to indicate the passive value. The exceptions are akunavayatā and caxr[iyatā] or [e]āxr-[iyatā].

§221. THE FINITE Moods of the Verb in OP: The indicative is formed directly upon the tense stem by the addition of the personal endings, as is also the imperative. The subjunctive and the optative have special mood-formations, and the injunctive differs from the indicative in lacking the augment; these three moods will therefore be considered separately. The indicative and the imperative will be considered only under the personal endings (§225–§237).

§222. The Subjunctive Formations: all subjunctives so far found in OP have primary personal endings (§225).

I. Non-thematic stems have subjunctives with the thematic vowel, long in the first person and short in the second and third persons: to as-'be', Skt. dsāni dsasi dsati, OP ahaniy āhy ahatiy. In ahăniy the ă, if not an error in writing, is an extension from the other forms; āhy is for \*ahahi (§131).

II. Thematic stems have subjunctives with the long thematic vowel throughout, 1st sg. and 1st and 3d pl. ō, other forms ē; 2d and 3d sg. and mid. as in Skt. bhár-āsi -āti -āse -āte: OP xšnāsāhy, θāhy (for \*θahāhy), apa-gaudayāhy, [drau]jīyāhy, pati-parsāhy, pari-barāhy (and -āha-diš), vaināhy; ni-rasātiy, xšnāsātiy, pati-parsātiy, bavātiy, vainātiy; maniyāhaiy (for variant spellings, see Lex. s.v. 'man-); maniyātaiy, gaubātaiy, θadayātaiy, yadātaiy, vainātaiy. 3d pl. act. as in Skt. -ānti: OP vi-nāθayā\*'tiy (restored form).

III. Certain non-thematic stems which in the indicative have in part been shifted to thematic stems, have subjunctives of the second type: kar-, pres. kunav(a)-: kunavāhy, mid. 1st sg. kunavānaiy, 3d sg. kunavātaiy.

var-, pres. varnav(a)-: varnavātaiy.
xšnav-, pres. xšnav(a)-: ā-xšnavāhy.
kan-, pres. kan(a)-: vi-kanāhy (and -āh<sup>a</sup>-diš).
jan-, pres. jan(a)-: perhaps (restored) 3d pl. vi-janā<sup>n</sup>tiy, cf. Av. 3d sg. janāiti.

§223. The Optative Formations: all optatives have in OP, as in other languages, secondary endings.

I. Non-thematic stems have optatives with pIE  $-i\bar{e}$ - in the sg. act.,  $-\bar{\imath}$ - in other forms. The  $-i\bar{e}$ -suffix, which alone appears in extant OP, became  $-i\bar{\iota}\bar{e}$ - after two consonants even in pIE; and in OP even  $-i\bar{e}$ - was of necessity written  $-iy\bar{a}$ - after any consonant except h (§25). Examples: 2d sg. b- $iy\bar{a}^t$ , 3d sg. b- $iy\bar{a}^t$ ,  $\bar{a}$ -jam- $iy\bar{a}^t$ ,  $a\bar{a}$ -jan- $iy\bar{a}^t$ , perf. caxr- $iy\bar{a}^t$ .

II. Thematic stems have optatives with -ī- (as zero-grade of -iē-, cf. I) added to the thematic vowel pIE -o-, making pIE -oi-: cf. 2d and 3d sg. Gk. φέροις φέροι, Skt. bháres bháret. Examples: 3d sg. vi-nāθayaiš, pass. kariyaiš fraθiyaiš, pass. pl. yadiyaiša<sup>n</sup> (for ending, §232.III); 2d sg. mid. with ending -so, yadaišā, cf. Gk. φέροιο.

§224. The Injunctive Formations are merely secondary indicative forms lacking the augment; the following forms have been found in OP: 1st sg. tarsam; 2d sg. stabava<sup>h</sup> apa-gaudaya<sup>h</sup> ava-rada<sup>h</sup>; 3d sg. θadaya<sup>t</sup>. See also §237.

§225. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE VERB, so far as they appear in extant OP forms, are listed in the table; they are given in the pAr. values, since the pIE values cannot in all instances be determined.

TABLE OF PERSONAL ENDINGS

	A	ctive		Mi	iddle	
	Prim.	Sec.	Imv.	Prim.	Sec.	Imv.
Sg. 1	$\begin{cases} -mi \\ -ni \end{cases}$	-m		$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -i \\ nai \end{bmatrix} \right\}$	-i	
2	-si	-8	$\begin{cases} \text{nil } \\ -dhi \end{cases}$	-sai -tai	-sa	-sya.
3	-ti	-t	-tu	-tai	-to	-tām
Du. 3		-tam				
Pl. 1	-masi	-///u				
<b>2</b>		-ta -nt				
3	-nti	-nt		1	-nte	ı

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The same variation between active and middle endings in forms with the specifically passive stem-suffix is found in the Avesta (Reichelt, Aw. Elmb. §615) and in Sanskrit (Whitney, Skt. Gram. §774); but in both these languages the middle endings are more frequent than the active andings in the anal passives.

The original quality of the pAr. a in some of these endings is seen in certain other languages, especially Greek: 2d pl. -ta is pIE -te; -sai -tai are pIE -sai -tai; -sa, 3d sg. -ta, -tam, -nta are pIE -so -to -tom -nto; -masi and -ma varied between -e-(as in Gk. Dor. -\mu s) and -o- (as in Lt. -mus); for the -\vec{a}-\text{o}-\text{of} -nai, -sua, -t\vec{a}m there is no evidence.

A number of variations from the pIE formations will be discussed in the following sections. The imperative endings are taken up collectively in §237.

It is to be noted that certain of these endings cannot be distinguished in the OP syllabary. The endings -ti -tai -nti are all written -taiya, though normalized -tiy -taiy -ntiy on the evidence of the context or of other forms of the same verb; -ta and -nta are both written -ta, and normalized -ta and -ntā; -s -t -nt are all unrepresented in the writing if preceded by  $-\check{a}$ , though, like the n in -nti and -nta, they may optionally be represented by raised letters in the normalization. The subjunctive endings -ni and -nai also are both written -naiya, though normalized -niy and -naiy. Further, the Arvan change of both pIE e and pIE o to a makes it impossible to distinguish the quality of the thematic vowel by citation of Aryan forms merely; for this purpose, Greek cognates have been cited.

§226. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE FIRST SINGULAR ACTIVE.

I. The primary ending -mi is attached directly to non-thematic stems, as in pIE \*es-mi, Skt. ásmi, Av. ahmi, OP amiy. The ending of thematic stems was the lengthening of the thematic vowel o, as in Lat. ferō, Gk. φέρω; but to this -ō there was added in Aryan the ending -mi, as in Skt. bhárā-mi, Av. barāmi, OP pari-barāmiy. Other OP examples: parsāmiy, Skt. prcchāmi; dārayāmiy, Skt. dhārá-yāmi; vaināmiy, jadiyāmiy.

The ending -ni, of unexplained origin, was similarly added to the 1st sg. subj. in  $-\bar{o}$ : pIE \*es- $\bar{o}$  to es-'be', Gk. Ion.  $\epsilon\omega$ , Lat. (fut. ind.)  $er\bar{o}$ ; but Skt.  $ds\bar{a}$ -ni, OP  $ah\bar{a}niy$  (with  $\bar{a}$  perhaps after the  $\bar{a}$  of other forms, as in 3d sg. ahatiy).

II. The secondary ending -m was attached directly to the thematic vowel -o-: pIE ebhero-m 'I bore', Skt. ábharam, Gk. ἔφερον, OP abaram; Skt. áprccham, OP aparsam. Other OP examples: niy-ašādayam, frānayam, arasam, etc. When attached to non-thematic stems, the -m became vocalic, and developed in pAr. to -am, a generalization of the antevocalic value, furthered by the identity

with -am from thematic -o-m: pIE \*ēs-m 'I was', Skt. ásam, OP āham; Skt. ákṛṇavam, OP akunavam; OP frājanam avājanam, viyakanam, avā-kanam, nij-āyam [u]pāyam upariy-ā[ya]m, aor. niy-apaišam. The suffix -nā- has become -na- in adīnam, in imitation of other first persons.

§227. The Personal Endings of the Second Singular Active.

I. The primary ending -si was attached directly to the present stem, whether thematic or non-thematic, but happens to occur in OP only in subjunctive forms: pati-parsāhy (on -hy, \$37), Skt. prcchāsi; pari-barāhy and -barāha-diš (\$136), vi-kanāhy and -kanāha-diš, kunavāhy, xšnāsāhy, ā-xšnavāhy, vaināhy, apa-gaudayāhy, [drau]jīyāhy; bāhy for \*bahāhy (\$131); āhy for short-vowel subjunctive (\$222.I) \*ahahy = Skt. dsasi.

II. The secondary ending -s is seen in Skt. abharas, Gk.  $\epsilon\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon_s$ ; in OP it happens to occur only in injunctive ava-rada, apa-gaudaya, stabava, and in opt.  $[biy]\bar{a}$ , in which it is not written because it is final after  $\bar{a}$  (§36).

§228. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE THIRD SINGULAR ACTIVE.

I. The primary ending is -ti, before which the thematic vowel is -e-: pIE \*bheyeti, Skt. bhávati, OP bavatiy; pIE \*bhereti, Skt. bhávati, OP ā-baratiy; OP tarsatiy, dārayatiy, etc.; θātiy for \*θahatiy after \*θāhy for \*θahahy (§131). Non-thematic: pIE \*esti, Skt. ásti, OP astiy; pIE \*eiti, Skt. éti, OP aitiy; Skt. hánti, OP ja\*tiy; Skt. lṛṇóti, OP kunautiy; OP ā-xšnautiy. Subjunctives: Skt. bhávāti, OP bavātiy; Skt. ásati, OP ahatiy; etc. (§222).

II. The secondary ending is -t, which is not written in OP final after & (§40); before -t the thematic vowel is -e-: pIE \*ebheyet, Skt. abhavat, OP abava; pIE \*ebheret, Gk. ἔφερε, Skt. abhavat, OP abara; Skt. ádruhyat, OP adurujiya; Skt. ádhārayat, OP adāraya; viyamarda; unaugmented avahar[da]; etc. Injunctive θadaya. Remodeled non-thematic, āha¹ 'was'. Passive, abariya, adāriya, etc. (§220). Non-thematic: adānā¹ to xšnā-, adadā to ²dā-, adīnā to ²dī-, viy-akan to kan-, avājan to ava-jan-; in the last two, radical n as well as ending t is not represented in the OP orthography. Strong aorist: pIE \*edhēt, Skt. ádhāt, OP adā. Optatives: Skt. gamyāt, OP ā-jamiyā; OP avā-janiyā, biyā, perf. caxriyā.

III. When i or u stands before the ending t, the OP form has a final  $\check{s}$ . Probably this is a trans-

fer from the sigmatic aorist, where the terminations would be pIE -is-m -is-s -is-t, pAr. -išam -iš -iš; the identity of second and third personal forms gives an analogy to the 2d sg. imperfect in -i-š (no examples extant in OP), whence impf. 3d sg. in -iš.¹ After u the phenomena are the same.² The OP examples are āiš 'went', atiy-āiš 'went past', akunuš 'made' (Skt. ākṛnot), adaršnauš 'dared' (Skt. ákṛnot); and the thematic optatives active vināθayaiš, passive kariyaiš fraθiyaiš (cf. for ending Gk. φέροι, Skt. bháret).

§229. The Personal Endings of the Third Dual Active. This occurs in OP only in a jivatam '(the two) were living', with ending agreeing with the Greek primary ending in present  $\phi \epsilon_{\rho e \tau \sigma \nu}$  '(the two) are bearing', pIE -tom. In the corresponding imperfect, Gk. Dor.  $\epsilon \phi \epsilon_{\rho} \epsilon_{\tau} \bar{a}_{\nu}$  and Skt. abharatām both have analogically lengthened vowels.

§230. The Personal Endings of the First Plural Active.

I. The primary ending was pIE -mes, as in Gk. Dor.  $\phi \epsilon_{\rho \rho \mu e s}$ , or -mos, as in Latin ferimus; pAr. has -mas or, with added deictic -i, -masi. Both -mas and -masi occur in Skt., but only -masi in Iranian. Thus Skt. smás and smási 'we are', Av. mahi, OP  $a^h mahy$  (a- after sg.  $a^h miy$ , astiy, as also in Gk.  $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). The same ending stands in OP  $\theta ahy \bar{a}mahy$  'we are called'.

II. The secondary ending was pIE -me or -mo, as in Gk. ἐφέρομε-ν, Skt. ἀbharāma; OP examples, imf. viy-atarayāmā, aor. akumā.

§231. The Personal Endings of the Second Plural Active. The only OP forms are two injunctives as imperative:  $parait\bar{a}$  'go ye forth' from  $par\bar{a}+it\bar{a}$ , cf. imv. Skt.  $it\bar{a}$ , Gk.  $i\tau_{\epsilon}$ , Lat. ite (with strong grade of root from singular);  $jat\bar{a}$  'smite ye', Skt.  $hat\bar{a}$ . The ending is pIE secondary -te.

§232. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE THIRD PLURAL ACTIVE.

I. The primary ending was pIE -nti, which was attached to the thematic vowel -o-: pIE \*bheronti, Gk. Dor. φέροντι, Skt. bháranti, OP barantiy; Skt.

bhávanti, OP bava<sup>n</sup>tiy; Skt. dhāráyanti, OP dāraya<sup>n</sup>tiy; OP tarsa<sup>n</sup>tiy; (restored) subjunctives with -ō-, OP vināθayā<sup>n</sup>tiy, vijanā<sup>n</sup>tiy (cf. §222.III). When attached to an unaccented non-thematic stem, the -nti was of necessity accented, and took the form pIE -énti: pIE \*s-énti 'they are', Osc. sent, Gk. Dor. (enclitic) ἐντί, Skt. sánti, OP ha<sup>n</sup>tiy. It is to be noted that the OP script does not permit the writing of the nasal in the ending (§111).

II. The secondary ending was pIE -nt, which lost the final t in pAr. unless sentence phonetics permitted its retention; for in pAr. only a single consonant could stand at the end of a word. In OP, also, the final n was not written (§112). Before this ending the thematic vowel was -o-: pIE \*ebheront, Gk. ĕφερον, Skt. ábharan, OP abaran\*; OP abavan\*, anayan\*, ayaudan\*, ašiyavan\*, atarsan\*, agarbāyan\*; passive abariyan\*, ayadiyan\*. Non-thematic stems would normally have pIE -nt after consonants, becoming pAr. -at; but this was commonly replaced by -an(t) after the -am of the 1st sg.: pIE \*ēs-nt, but Skt. ásan, OP āhan\*; OP apariyāyan\*, avājanan\*.

III. The signatic aorist similarly remodeled its 3d pl. from -sat (< -s- $\eta t$ ) to -san(t); thus in Gk., s-aorist  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\tilde{\nu}\sigma$ -a $\nu$  'they loosed', whence strong aorist  $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\sigma$ - $\sigma a\nu$  'they gave', and (late Greek) thematic  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\sigma$ - $\sigma a\nu$  'they took'. So also in OP, the -san spread to some verbs, being regularly - $ha^n$  after a and - $\tilde{s}a^n$  after i:  $abaraha^n$  alongside  $abara^n$ ,  $patiy-\tilde{a}i\tilde{s}a^n$ , opt. pass.  $yadiyai\tilde{s}a^n$ , and with extension of - $\tilde{s}a^n$  to the position after a,  $adurujiya\tilde{s}a^n$ ,  $akunava\tilde{s}a^n$  alongside  $akunava^n$ .

§233. The Personal Endings of the First Singular Middle.

I. The perfect tense seems to have had an ending -ai, as in Skt. tutudē, Latin tutudī, with vowel quality determined by Faliscan Peparai 'peperi'; this looks like the perfect first active -a, seen in Gk. oīôa, Skt. véda, + middle ending -i (see V, below).

II. Whatever the original ending in primary tenses, this was in Aryan replaced by -ai, identical with the ending in the perfect; probably this was facilitated by the change of the thematic vowel -e-/-o- to Aryan -a-, and the -ai of the 2d sg. -sai, 3d sg. -tai, as in Gk. (non-thematic) δίδο-σαι δίδο-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A detailed discussion of this analogical process is given by Pisani, Riv. Stud. Or. 19.89–92. <sup>2</sup>While nothing stands in the way of assuming phonetic development of final t after u to  $\delta$  in OP, it is unlikely that this change occurred after i; for OP-iiy is from pIE \* $q^{2}id$ , which is Skt. cid, Av.  $\delta ii$ , Lat. quid. One must assume that the 3d sg. - $\delta$  after u is of the same origin as the same ending after i.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This analogical extension is treated in detail by Pisani, Riv. Stud. Or. 19.92–3.

- rai, (thematic) φέρη φέρεται. In OP we have pres. naniyaiy (= Skt. mánye), patiy-avahyaiy, and [va]rtaiyaiy (for -tayaiy, §48; = Skt. vartaye). The same -ai is found as primary ending of non-thematic stems in Avestan and Indic, but there are no OP examples.
- III. This -ai was used in Aryan also as secondary ending in thematic imperfects, as in Skt. ámanye, OP amaniyaiy; OP ayadaiy, apayaiy, ham-adārayaiy, ham-ataxšaiy, patiy-axšayaiy.
- IV. The present subjunctive middle kunavānaiy has the active -ni remodeled to -nai after the 2d sg. -sai, 3d sg. -lai; in this form -ānai is not found in Skt., which has only -āi, but in Av. both -āi and -āne (from -ānai) occur.
- V. The ending -i seems to be original in non-thematic imperfects and a orists in Aryan; cf. Skt. anesi 'I led' to root  $n\bar{\imath}$ -. There is one example in OP, the sigmatic aorist  $adar\check{s}iy$  'I held' to root dar-(normalization  $adar\check{s}aiy$ , with -aiy like all other OP 1st sg. middles, is also possible).
- §234. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE SECOND SINGULAR MIDDLE.
- I. The primary ending was pIE -sai, seen in Gk. (non-thematic) δίδο-σαι, Skt. (thematic) bhárase. The only OP example is subj. maniyāhaiy (with varying orthographies, see Lex. s.v. ¹man-).
- II. The secondary ending was pIE -so, seen in Gk. (non-thematic) ἐδίδο-σο, with which Avestan agrees (Skt. has a different ending, -thās). There is one OP example, opt. yadaišā; for formation and ending, cf. Gk. ἔποιο 'thou wouldst follow', Av. haxšaēša (written hix-).
- §235. The Personal Endings of the Third Singular Middle.
- I. The primary ending was pIE -tai, as in Gk. φέρεται, Skt. bhárate; this is seen in OP yadataiy (= Skt. yájate), gaubataiy, ha<sup>m</sup>-taxšataiy, vainataiy, varnavataiy, and in the subjunctives yadātaiy, gaubātaiy, etc. (§222).
- II. The secondary ending was pIE -to, as in Gk. ἐφέρετο, Skt. ábharata; this is seen in OP udapatatā (Gk. ἐπέτετο, Skt. ápatata), anayatā (Skt. ánayata), amariyatā, frāmāyatā, agarbāyatā, agaubatā, ham-ataxšatā, āyasatā, [ā]h[yat]ā, adā[rayat]ā, [am]āvatā, passive akunavayatā, perhaps aor. (h)amaxmatā and perf. pass. caxr[iyatā] or [c]āxr[iyatā]; non-thematic remade to thematic, avarnavatā and ahištatā; non-thematic aor. akutā (Skt. ákrta) and imf. patiy-ajatā (Skt. áhata).

- §236. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE THIRD PLURAL MIDDLE. The thematic vowel before the ending was -o-, as is shown by the Greek.
- I. The primary ending was pIE -ntai, as in Gk. φέρονται, Skt. bhárante; but this is not attested in OP.
- II. The secondary ending was pIE -nto, as in OP abara<sup>n</sup>tā, Skt. ábharanta, Gk. ἐφέροντο; agauba<sup>n</sup>tā, pass. akariya<sup>n</sup>tā; non-thematic remade to thematic, akunava<sup>n</sup>tā 'made', āha<sup>n</sup>tā 'were', āya<sup>n</sup>tā 'went'.
- §237. THE PERSONAL ENDINGS OF THE IMPERATIVE. Apart from injunctive forms, there are imperatives with special endings, here discussed. The injunctives are those used in prohibitions with mā: 1st sg. tarsam (§226.II), 2d sg. stabavah apagaudayah ava-radah (§227.II), 3d sg. θadayah (§228.II); and those used as regular imperatives: 2d pl. paraitā jatā (§231).
- I. The second singular active of thematic stems is the stem without suffix: jīvā, Skt. jīva; pari-barā, Skt. bhára, Gk. φέρε; parsā, Skt. prcchá, Lat. posce. That of non-thematic stems has an accented -dhi, attached to the zero grade of the root: pIE \*i-dhi, OP -idiy in paraidiy and parīdiy, Skt. ihi, Gk. iθι; pIE \*gʰhŋ-dhi, OP jadiy, Skt. jahi; OP pādiy, dīdiy (§129), ā-xšnudiy.
- II. The third singular active and the third plural active have in Aryan the endings -tu and -ntu, possibly being injunctives in -t and -nt with the accretion of an emphatic particle u (familiar in Skt.): thematic baratw, Skt. bháratu; raxθatw, non-thematic dadātw, Skt. dádātu; kunautw, pātw, ni-ka\*tw; 3d pl. pā\*tw.
- III. The second singular middle had in Aryan an ending -sua: OP pati-paya-huvā, cf. Skt. bhárasva; OP ku-šuvā, Skt. kr-svá.
- IV. The third singular middle had in Aryan an ending -tām, as in Skt. bháratām; the only OP example is varnavatām, a thematic form remade from a non-thematic stem.
- §238. The Infinitive occurs in OP only in the present active, with the suffix -tanaiy, the ancestor of the NPers. infinitive ending -tān or -dān: cartanaiy 'to do', to kar-; kantanaiy 'to dig', to kan-, cf. NPers. kāndān; bartanaiy 'to bear', to bar-; nipaištanaiy 'to engrave', to ni-paið-. The c- of cartanaiy is evidence for the -e- ablaut-grade in this formation (§98); NPers. kārdān 'to do' has k- generalized from the rest of the paradigm. In form,